health & care professions council

Council

Minutes of the 96th meeting of the Health and Care Professions Council as follows:-

Date: Wednesday 11 February 2015

Time: 2pm

Venue:The Council Chamber, Health and Care Professions Council, Park House,184Kennington Park Road, London SE11 4BU

Present: Anna van der Gaag (Chair) Elaine Buckley Stephen Cohen Sonya Lam Eileen Mullan Joanna Mussen Robert Templeton Graham Towl Joy Tweed Nicola Wood Stephen Wordsworth

In attendance:

John Barwick, Acting Director of Fitness to Practise Guy Gaskins, Director of Information Technology Andy Gillies, Director of Finance Abigail Gorringe, Director of Education Michael Guthrie, Director of Policy and Standards Teresa Haskins, Director of Policy and Standards Jacqueline Ladds, Director of Communications Louise Lake, Director of Council and Committee Services Greg Ross-Sampson, Director of Operations Marc Seale, Chief Executive and Registrar

Public Agenda – Part 1

Item 1.15/13 Chair's welcome and introduction

1.1 The Chair welcomed Council members and those seated in the public gallery to the 96th meeting of Council.

Item 2.15/14 Apologies for absence

2.1 Apologies for absence were received from Richard Kennett.

Item 3.15/16 Approval of Agenda

3.1 The Council approved the agenda.

Item 4.15/17 Declaration of Members' interests

4.1 No interests were declared.

Item 5.15/18 Minutes of the Council meeting of 16 January 2015 (report ref:-HCPC05/15)

- 5.1 The Council considered the minutes of the 95th meeting of the Health and Care Professions Council.
- 5.2 The Council agreed the minutes subject to amending Eileen Mullan's name (from Elaine Mullan) under the list of attendees.

Item 6.15/19 Chair's report (report ref:-HCPC06/15)

- 6.1 The Council received a report from the Chair.
- 6.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The Chair had run a workshop on governance for the Irish regulator (CORU) at a training event held in Dublin in December;
 - The Council noted that the Chair had been invited by the Australian regulator (AHPRA) to give presentations to a range of stakeholders in Melbourne and Sydney. This was also an opportunity to learn from the experience of regulators in other countries;
 - The Chair had attended the International Care Ethics Observatory Advisory Group meeting. This group was a collaboration between Oxford and Surrey Universities and they were carrying out some analysis work for the PSA;

- The Council noted that the meeting with Dr Shereen Hussein on 27 January 20-15 was an opportunity for the Chair to discuss HCPC's approach to regulation;
- In response to a question about how meetings are prioritised, the Council noted that the Chair and Chief Executive have an 'open' policy whereby they do try to accommodate the majority requests for meetings. However, sometimes it is either more appropriate for another member of the Executive to meet with the individual or representatives from an organisation or simply that it is not possible owing to diary limitations.
- 6.3. The Council noted the report.

Item 7.15/20 Chief Executive's report (report ref:-HCPC07/15)

- 7.1 The Council received a report from the Chief Executive.
- 7.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The meeting held on 15 December with the representatives from Oxfordshire County Council was in relation to an ongoing investigation in relation to social workers. It was expected that there would be formal findings;
 - The Council noted that the Chair and Chief Executive offer to meet with every professional body each year. This is their opportunity to provide their agenda items and raise any issues in a formal way; and
 - The Council noted the role of CLEAR.
- 7.3 The Council noted the report.

Departmental reports

Item 8.15/21 Human Resources report (report ref:-HCPC08/15)

- 8.1 The Council received a report from the Executive. The Council noted that the report set out the main activities of the HR Department since the December meeting of Council.
- 8.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - That January and February were particularly busy as a result of recruitment for both employees and partners, the employee performance reviews and the annual pay rounds;
 - With reference to a slightly higher number of vacancies than usual (page 9), the Council noted that we tend not to start recruitment campaigns in

December and so this number was slightly higher as a result of a delay in initiating recruitment;

- In response to a question about retention of staff as a result of wage inflation, the Council noted that wage inflation was not moving radically as yet, at least not in the public sector. The findings of exit interviews were that employees tend to leave as a result of career progression or pay;
- The Council noted that it would be useful to see statistics in relation to those employees with flexible working arrangements. It was further noted that those employees on flexible working arrangements tend to do reduced days rather than reduced hours i.e. compressed hours.
- 8.3 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents therein.

Item 9.15/22 Finance report (report ref:-HCPC09/15)

- 9.1 The Council received a report from the Executive. The Council noted that the report set out the main activities of the Finance Department since the December meeting of Council.
- 9.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The 9 month reforecast showed that income and expenditure were on track and the 2014/15 outturn was positive;
 - The draft budget for 2015/16 had now been put together;
 - That a review of the outsourced payroll arrangements would be carried out to ensure integration with the new HR system;
 - That the fluctuation in non-payroll costs of £799k as seen on page 5 of the report was as a result of phasing and in all likelihood the gap would be closed by year end;
 - That the majority of social workers in England had now moved to paying by direct debit;
 - That whilst the variances in income and expenditure were very small (approximately 1% and 5% respectively), we were moving towards the FAST standard which would make the budgeting process more robust and less susceptible to error;
 - That it was down to the Council to agree the budget and any projected surplus or deficit that they were comfortable with. There is nothing set down in legislation which states what is an acceptable deficit or surplus might be.
- 9.3 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents therein.

Item 10.15/23 Education Report (report ref:- HCPC10/15)

- 10.1 The Council received a paper for discussion from the Executive. The Council noted that the report set out the main activities of the Education Department since the December meeting of Council.
- 10.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - That whilst December and January were relatively quiet, the department were just entering a busy period with a high number of planned visits, most of which were to social work programmes. It was noted that these were the last of the programmes which were transferred over from the GSCC, approval for which has been conducted over the last three years;
 - In response to a question, it was noted that education providers are expected to inform us if far reaching changes are made to programmes. However, since there is a degree of flexibility within the standards, minor changes can be made. It is hoped that we would be notified of changes before they are made although it was acknowledged that this was not always possible, for example, in relation to staff turnover or student numbers;
 - The Council noted that if a concern was raised in relation to a registrant then the Fitness to Practise department would conduct their proceedings prior to any action by the Education department;
 - That within the departmental workplan for 2015/16, a review of social work programmes would be carried out and this would be shared with education providers and stakeholders.
- 10.3 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents therein.

Item 11.15/24 Operations Report (report ref:-HCPC11/15)

- 11.1 The Council received a paper for discussion from the Executive. The Council noted that the report set out the main activities of the Operations Department since the December meeting of Council.
- 11.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - That the registration renewal of social workers in England saw significantly fewer transactions in terms of telephone calls and emails. This was as a result of communications work and less queries since this was the second time the profession had renewed their registration with HCPC;
 - In relation to website usage, the Council noted that whilst we do not have 'google analytics' and cannot see how far registrants progress in terms of

online renewals before logging out, approximately 80% of registrants renew online and approximately 10% of registrants never engage with HCPC using the website;

- That there has been an increase in the number of international applications. These are cost neutral. In terms of workload, this increase has been dealt with by existing staff on overtime;
- It was noted that fewer applications than expected had been received from paramedics from Australia and New Zealand. The London Ambulance Service had been thorough in their recruitment drive which had resulted in straightforward applications which could be considered 'in block';
- There was some positive news in relation to operational performance but the way it is presented did not highlight this. The suggestion was made that this be reviewed.
- 11.3 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents therein.

Item 12.15/25 Information Technology (IT) Report (report ref:- HCPC12/15)

- 12.1 The Council received a paper for discussion from the Executive. The Council noted that the report set out the main activities of the IT Department since the December meeting of Council.
- 12.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - In relation to external provider contracts, due to their relatively low value, the contract does not include a method to commercially penalise the external provider responsible for the telephone outages described in the report;
 - That the organisation was moving to 'secure printing' and the project is expected to complete by the end of March with the replacement of all printers and photocopiers (multi-functional devices).
- 12.3 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents therein.

Item 13.15/26 Communications Report (report ref:-HCPC13/15)

- 13.1 The Council received a paper for discussion from the Executive. The Council noted that the report set out the main activities of the Communications Department since the December meeting of Council.
- 13.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - That the new 'MyHCPC' 'App' for registrants had been well received with over 1500 downloads;

- The original 'HCPC Check' 'App' which is aimed at members of the public and allows them to check the public register is still operational;
- That communications work was ongoing in relation to the renewal of practitioner psychologists due to commence on 1 March 2015;
- The Council noted that a process is in place to determine when it is appropriate to take a proactive or reactive approach to negative comments made in the media.
- 13.3 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents therein.

Item 14.15/27 Secretariat Report (report ref:-HCPC14/15)

- 14.1 The Council received a paper for discussion from the Executive. The Council noted that the report set out the main activities of the Secretariat Department since the December meeting of Council.
- 14.2 The Council noted the ongoing work in relation to the recruitment of the new Chair of Council.
- 14.3 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents therein.

Item 15.15/28 Policy and Standards Report (report ref:-HCPC15/15)

- 15.1 The Council received a paper for discussion from the Executive. The Council noted that the report set out the main activities of the Policy and Standards Department since the December meeting of Council.
- 15.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The requirement for our professions to have professional indemnity insurance comes into force on 1 April 2015 and all registrants, with the exception of social workers in England, will have to make a declaration to say they have cover in place at the time of renewal;
 - QA research are undertaking the CPD perceptions and experiences study and have received 650 responses, 300 from people whom have previously been audited;
 - The Report on the Freedom to Speak Up review had just been published and there would be a report submitted to the next meeting of Council.
- 15.3 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents therein.

Item 16.15/29 Fitness to Practise Report (report ref:-HCPC16/15)

- 16.1 The Council received a paper for discussion from the Executive. The Council noted that the report set out the main activities of the Fitness to Practise Department since the December meeting of Council.
- 16.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - There had been fewer complaints received in December and January which was to be expected because of the Christmas holidays. Of the complaints received, 58% related to social workers;
 - Progress had been made in terms of bringing the caseload back in line with forecasted figures;
 - Changes had been made to how temporary staff were deployed which resulted in more experienced case managers dealing with a higher number of cases but with assistance from temporary staff;
 - The 'protection of title' cases were now being dealt with by a dedicated case team manager and significant progress was being made;
 - The length of time from receiving a complaint to a case being heard was broadly stable;
 - The guidance for employers in relation to when they should refer a case had been reviewed. Amongst other things, this will enable the case managers to make a more expedient assessment of potential risks;
 - In response to a question about balancing resources and how quickly a case is progressed, the Council noted that legislation dictates to a certain extent how long a case takes to progress at each stage;
 - The workload given to a case manager was dependent upon how long they had been in post, how much support they were receiving and the complexity of the cases they were dealing with.
- 16.3 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents therein.

Items to Note

Item 17.15/30 Chief Executive's Summary of key issues

17.1 The Council received an update from the Chief Executive. This update covered current legislation, projects being undertaken, ISO27001, the Fitness to Practise process.

17.2 The Council noted the update.

Item 18.15/31 Reports from Council representatives at external meetings (report ref:-HCPC17/15)

18.1 The Council noted the report.

Item 19.15/32 Any other business

19.1 There were no additional items for discussion.

Chair:

.....

Date:

10

health & care professions council

Council

Minutes of the 96th meeting of the Health and Care Professions Council as follows:-

Date: Thursday 12 February 2015

Time: 9.30am

Venue:The Council Chamber, Health and Care Professions Council, Park House,184Kennington Park Road, London SE11 4BU

Present: Anna van der Gaag (Chair) Elaine Buckley Stephen Cohen Eileen Mullan Joanna Mussen Sonya Lam Robert Templeton Joy Tweed Nicola Wood Stephen Wordsworth

In attendance:

John Barwick, Acting Director of Fitness to Practise Nicole Casey, Policy Manager (For items 1-5) Laura Coveney, Policy Officer (For items 1-5) Liz Craig, PA to Director of Education (For items 1-5) Guy Gaskins, Director of Information Technology Andy Gillies, Director of Finance Abigail Gorringe, Director of Education Michael Guthrie, Director of Policy and Standards Teresa Haskins, Director of Human Resources Grant Imlach, Media and PR Manager Jonathan Jones, Stakeholder Communications Manager Jacqueline Ladds, Director of Council and Committee Services Greg Ross-Sampson, Director of Operations Marc Seale, Chief Executive and Registrar Edward Tynan, Policy Officer (For items 1-5)

Public Agenda – Part 1

Item 1.15/33 Chair's welcome and introduction

1.1 The Chair welcomed Council members and those seated in the public gallery to the 96th meeting of Council.

Item 2.15/34 Apologies for absence

2.1 Apologies for absence were received from Richard Kennett and Graham Towl.

Item 3.15/35 Approval of Agenda

3.1 The Council approved the agenda.

Item 4.15/36 Declaration of Members' interests

4.1 There were no interests declared.

Strategy and Policy

Item 5.15/37 Overview of recent developments

- 5.1 The Council received a presentation from the Chief Executive and Registrar. This covered the following areas:-
 - Current position and legislation;
 - Registrant and fee levels;
 - Operating expenditure;
 - External factors;
 - Separation of adjudication;
 - Office accommodation and facilities;
 - Next steps.
- 5.2 The Council were given the opportunity to ask questions.

[Council took a break at 10:30am and resumed at 10:45am]

Item 6.15/38 Government response to the consultation on the regulation of public health specialists from non-medical backgrounds (report ref:-HCPC18/15)

- 6.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 6.2 The Council noted that the Department of Health had confirmed the Government's intention to publish a Section 60 Order under the Health Act 1999 to regulate public health specialists with the HCPC.
- 6.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - Those registrants of the NMC and GPhC who are public health specialists should not in future have to dual register but rather they could be annotated as specialists in the respective Registers. However, there is no obligation on these regulators to annotate their registers;
 - Some registrants may not wish to have an annotation added to their existing registration and may prefer to be separately registered as a public health specialist in order to give this area of their work greater credibility;
 - Since publication of the paper, it was noted that the Register would now open to this profession on 1 July 2016;
 - Concern was expressed in terms of inequality for those public health specialists on the GMC register who would be subject to revalidation whilst our registrants would not. In response, the Executive did not consider this to be a big issue. However, our registrants would be subject to HCPC's system of CPD.
- 6.3 The Council discussed the report and noted the contents.

Item 7.15/39 Statutory regulation of further professions (report ref:-HCPC19/15)

- 7.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 7.2 The Council noted that the HCPC used to run what was known as a 'new professions' or 'aspirant groups' process by which it could consider applications from professional bodies seeking regulation for their professions and make recommendations. The process was closed to new applications in 2011 following the publication of 'Enabling excellence'. In January 2014, we were called for the first time to appear before the UK Parliament Health Committee. In anticipation that we would be called before the Committee again in 2015, we wrote to the aspirant professions in July 2014. We sought evidence to support the case for their regulation. In November 2014, we found out the Committee did not intend for us to appear before it. However, we agreed to compile the evidence we had received from the aspirant groups and once considered by Council, send it to the Chair of the Health Committee.

- 7.3 During the course of discussion, the following points were made:-
 - In relation to risk, the Council noted that of the ten criteria, one specifically related to risk and the others to readiness for regulation;
 - That the list of professions within the paper was in alphabetical order. Whilst we had been asked to put them in order of priority, we have avoided doing so on the basis that we wish to affirm our commitments to pursue statutory regulation for all these groups;
 - In 2007, the government's white paper asked us to look at how we could regulate counsellors and psychotherapists. We convened a Professional Liaison Group which reached agreement on a number of issues although there was no consensus on the structure of the Register. Whilst they are not included within this paper, we do advocate that this group is a priority when we meet with interested parties;
 - Concern was expressed that we had not risk assessed emerging new professions and it was noted that we do not proactively seek groups and furthermore, it was difficult to obtain the evidence base on risks to the public until groups are within the statutory regulation framework;
 - The suggestion was made that the findings set out in the paper remain neutral and we convey our position to the Health Select Committee by means of a covering letter. This would set out that we had conducted up to date analysis and that our views had not changed. We continued to recommend statutory regulation for the list of groups contained within the analysis;
 - The suggestion was made that paragraph 1.2 of the paper needed to be amended to reflect its relationship with the list of professions detailed under paragraph 1.1 and not another list of professions.
- 7.4 The Council agreed the following:-
 - (i) To await any amendments to the paper from the respective professional bodies;
 - (ii) To draft a covering letter to be sent to the Chair of the Health Select Committee which would reaffirm our position in terms of these groups;
 - (iii) To discuss the issue after the General Election in May and see how statutory regulation for the professions could be progressed with a new government.

Item 8.15/40 Duty of candour - PSA progress report (report ref:-HCPC20/15)

8.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.

- 8.2 The Council noted that the PSA was commissioned by the Department of Health to report on the regulators' progress in implementing a duty of candour for health professionals. Other professional regulators have recently published a statement on the professional duty of candour, the HCPC did not become a signatory to the statement owing to issues with language and context rather than any disagreement with the overarching principles. The HCPC are already implementing the 'duty of candour' by strengthening the standards of conduct, performance and ethics.
- 8.3 During the course of discussion, the following points were made:-
 - Whilst there was a duty of candour already in our standards, it was not always explicit. Therefore, this would be changed as part of the ongoing review;
 - Some regulators had signed up to the statement although there was no reference to a duty of candour within their standards;
 - HCPC fully supported the philosophy of being open and honest and had taken a principled rather than political approach to the signing of the statement;
 - In terms of how we have presented this publicly, we have been clear that although we did not support the language of the statement, we fully support the principle. It was noted that no adverse press had been created as a result of us not signing the statement.
- 8.4 The Council discussed the report and noted the contents.

Item 9.15/41 Government response to Law Commission proposals for reforms to the legislation of the health and care professional regulators (report ref:-HCPC21/15)

- 9.1 The Council received a report from the Executive.
- 9.2 The Council noted that in April 2014, the Law Commissions of England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland published their proposals and a draft Bill for reforming the legislation of eight of the health and care professional regulators because the existing legislation of the regulators is out of date. In general, the Executive and Council have been supportive of the proposals, although the HCPC is in a more fortunate position having relatively modern legislation which has been kept up to date as the Register has opened to new professions.
- 9.3 The Government had now published its response to the Law Commissions' proposals. The Council noted that the Executive has been working alongside the other regulators with the Department of Health has they work through the Law Commissions' proposals and start to develop a Bill which may taken forward by the new Government after the general election in May 2015.

- 9.4 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The Council noted and welcomed the Government's endorsement of the Law Commissions' proposals that any Bill should include a provision which would allow secondary legislation to be brought forward subsequently to introduce a system of 'prohibition orders'. If introduced this would give effect to the Council's proposals for a 'suitability scheme' for adult social care workers in England by which those found unsuitable to work in adult social care could be prevented from doing so by being entered into a 'suitability register';
 - It was noted that the issue of whether and for how long information about historic fitness to practise action should be recorded against a registrant's name in the Register was a difficult one. The Government had said in its response that it considered that there was a case for regulators including such information in their registers and for publishing information about those who had been struck off, but that the time period for which they should do so needed to be carefully considered. The Council were advised of the HCPC's approach to publishing fitness to practise information in the Register to date and that changes to this would be considered in the future in line with any legislation which is put in place.
- 9.5 The Council discussed the report and noted the contents.

Item 10.15/42 Stakeholder perceptions and social media intelligence research report (report ref:- HCPC22/15)

- 10.1 The Council received a report from the Executive.
- 10.2 The Council noted that two pieces of research were carried out into perceptions of the HCPC by external stakeholders. The paper identifies emerging issues and themes and includes an action plan.
- 10.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - Three areas were looked into, the first being whether individuals had an awareness of HCPC and regulation, the second whether they understood our role and function and the third being what was their perception of HCPC's existing activities;
 - It was noted that this report detailed 'perceptions', not necessarily 'truths' and the sample size was relatively small at 1000 participants;
 - The findings of this research was consistent with previous research and generally a positive message in terms of participants' level of understanding;

- That since there had been an increase in our social media presence, we had wanted to have this area researched and as a result, an action plan had been devised to address the findings;
- The suggestion was made that the campaign to raise awareness in doctor's surgery could also be extended to include those supermarkets with pharmacies;
- Greater work needed to be done to engage with students and aspirant registrants using social media;
- Whilst we build relationships with organisations such as Health Watch England, it was important to continue to do this;
- Concern was expressed that the front page of the website was full of information about fitness to practise hearing outcomes when in fact this was a small part of the work of HCPC. In response, the Council noted that it was a difficult balance in terms of the message. However, the website was being changed and hearing outcomes would be listed on the website although not necessarily on the front page;
- In terms of the Redscape social media work, the Council noted that there was not a lot of negative social media posting in relation to HCPC as an organisation. Often the negative comments related to a process, for example, CPD process;
- It was interesting to note that the most effective means of communication were dependent upon the issue being addressed and the audience. For example, registrants preferred being emailed where communication with members of the public was more effective using posters.

10.4 The Council discussed the report and noted the contents.

At 12:30pm, the Council waived Standing Order 13 in order to conclude the business for the day.

Item 11.15/43 Practice Notes (report ref:-HCPC23/15)

- 11.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 11.2 The Council noted that the Practice Notes exist to provide clear guidance to all parties with an interest or involvement in a Fitness to Practise investigation or Hearing. There are currently 33 Practice Notes. We aim to review each Practice Note on an annual basis. In most cases, there are few changes, or there is the requirement to edit the document to make it easier to understand or use. The

Practice Notes are not reviewed in isolation. Six Practice Notes have been reviewed and have minor changes.

11.3 The Council approved the paper.

Corporate Governance

Item 12.15/44 Committee Allocations (report ref:- HCPC24/15)

- 12.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 12.2 The Council noted that in order to inform the process to allocate Council members to Committees, the skills matrix was reviewed.
- 12.3 The Council agreed that:-
 - (i) the Committees be constituted as follows:-

Audit Committee

Richard Kennett Graham Towl Independent Member – Julie Parker

Education and Training

Elaine Buckley Eileen Mullan Joanna Mussen Graham Towl Joy Tweed Steve Wordsworth

Remuneration

Robert Templeton Joy Tweed Chair of Council

- (ii) Richard Kennett continue in his capacity as Chair of the Audit Committee and Joy Tweed continue in her capacity as Chair of the Education and Training Committee; and
- (iii) the Committee appointments be reviewed in February 2017 or when any new appointments are made to Council (whichever comes first).

Item 13.15/45 Any other business

13.1 A query was raised in relation to the process that would be followed should a member of Council or a member of staff make a complaint about one of HCPC's registrants. The Executive undertook to look into this and respond accordingly.

Item 14.15/46 Meeting evaluation

- 14.1 During the meeting evaluation, the following points were made:-
 - The new layout of the room works well;
 - It was good to welcome new colleagues around the table;
 - The quality of the discussion was very good;
 - A query was raised about whether it was an appropriate use of the Executive's time to attend both meetings of Council even if they were not presenting an item. In response, it was noted that it was down to each individual member of EMT to decide whether they wished to attend. However, most found it a useful way of understanding the business across the whole organisation;
 - In relation to a question about whether the Council were given too much information on operational matters, the Council noted that the trust between the Council and Executive was of paramount importance and the sharing of operational matters was key to this. Furthermore, HCPC had an established record in placing operational information in the public domain as a matter of course;
 - It was noted that the acoustics were not particularly good and so people needed to speak up.

Item 15.15/47 Date and time of next meeting:

15.1 Wednesday 25th March at 2pm and Thursday 26th March at 9:30am at Park House, 184 Kennington Park Road, London SE11 4BU

Chair:

Date:

.....