# health & care professions council

Agenda Item 5

Enclosure 3

# Health and Care Professions Council 22 September 2016

**Fitness to Practise report** 

To note

From Kelly Holder, Director of Fitness to Practise

# health & care professions council

# Council, 22 September 2016

Fitness to Practise report

Executive summary and recommendations

### Introduction

The report provides an update about the activities of the Fitness to Practise department

#### Decision

This paper is to note.

#### **Background information**

None

#### **Resource implications**

Provided for in 2016-17 budget

#### **Financial implications**

Provided for in 2016-17 budget

# Appendices

None

### Date of paper

9 September 2016

#### **Fitness to Practise Directorate Update**

The following provides an update about the work of the Fitness to Practise directorate in July.

health & care professions council

#### 1. New Cases

- There were 178 new FTP cases received in July against a forecast of 200 (as per the 3 month re-forecast). In total we have received 791 cases against a forecast of 673 year to date. Therefore there is currently an increase of 118 cases.
- Case Manager caseloads remain on average marginally above forecast. There are 1193 open cases which is 54 below forecast. However, Case Manager caseloads remain on average marginally above forecast and is further impacted due to the current vacant posts, which are being covered by temporary resource. Three Case Managers continue to be on probationary periods with reduced caseloads. A further 3 Case Managers have reduced caseloads due to part time hours or health reasons.
- Case Team Managers have been meeting on a weekly basis to discuss the allocation of cases amongst the Case Managers.
- **ACTION**: We will monitor this trend and any ongoing impact on caseloads. The increase in new cases will be fed into the planning for the realignment of the FTP functions anticipated in autumn 2016 where logging will move to Case Manages within the Reception and Triage Team. We have now recruited for the vacant posts in the Reception and Triage team.
- Year to date, 56% of new cases have related to social workers. The next biggest profession is Paramedics with 12%. This is in line with the proportions seen in 2016-17 where 55% of new cases related to social workers.
- **ACTION**: We will continue to monitor this and should this trend continue we will look at the cases in more detail. As part of the workplan for 2016-17 we will be undertaking further stakeholder engagement, particularly with local authorities as the largest employers of social workers in England and we can feedback any learning from cases we have received.

#### 2. Enquiry and Pre ICP Cases

• At the end of July there were 1193 open cases (including those categorized as Rule 12), this is 54 cases (4%) below forecast (1247). The lower than forecast number of new FTP cases received in July and the higher than forecast number of cases closed under the Standard of Acceptance has contributed to this reduction in open cases to below forecasted levels.

- The age of open enquiry cases in July 2016 has remained on a par with June 2016, with 65% of cases less than 5 months old compared to 66%. The mean and median age of open Enquiry cases at the end of July is 5 and 3 months which is consistent with the first quarter of the year.
- The age of open pre-Investigating Committee Panel Cases (ICP) cases has remained steady, with 153 cases (63%) less than 8 months old compared to 154 cases in June (63%). The mean and median of open pre-ICP cases is 10 and 8 months, up from 9 and 6 months in June.
- **ACTION**: The Case Progression Conference and other case progression activities will be reviewed as part of the re-alignment to ensure a coherent and consistent approach to managing cases as efficiently and effectively as possible.
- ACTION: An escalation process table has been designed for Case Managers and Case Team Managers that sets out the routes through the department by which outstanding requests for information from third parties can be escalated. The table includes indicative timeframes and helpful commentary on key points to consider at each stage of the escalation process. This should help to ensure cases are progressed more quickly by assisting Case Managers in knowing at what points and how to raise concerns about the cooperation of third parties. The table will be in use from August 2016.

### 3. Cases closed that do not meet the Standard of Acceptance

#### Cases closed under the Standard of Acceptance

- In July 2016 168 cases were closed as they did not meet the Standard of Acceptance. The number of cases closed pre-ICP has been consistently above forecast for the last year. This has aided in reducing the open case load
- The mean and median age of cases closed year to date remains at 5 and 4 months. In 2015-16 the mean and median was 6 and 5 months.

#### Allegations

- Allegations were drafted and sent to registrants for their observations in 54 cases this month which was below forecast (60), the proportion of cases was also slightly below forecast at 22%, (forecast of 25%). Whilst in June observations were sent in 63 cases, which was slightly above forecast (62), in April and May observations were also sent in fewer than forecast cases. This may affect the forecast for cases to be considered at ICP in future months and subsequently the number of cases referred to final hearing.
- **ACTION**: This will be monitored in coming months for any impact on the number of cases considered at ICP and the number of cases referred for a hearing.

# 4. Cases considered at Investigating Committee Panel

- A lower than forecast number of cases were considered at ICP in July, 54 (forecast 66); year to date there have been 21 cases below forecast. The case to answer rate for July was 77%. Year to date the case to answer rate is 17% higher than forecast, although the total cases referred is in line with the forecast (157).
- The mean and median length of time of cases concluded at ICP from the date the case was received year to date remains at 10 and 8 months. The mean and median for 2015-16 was 11 and 9 months.

### 5. Interim Order Applications and Interim Order Review Hearings

- In July 15 interim order applications were considered (of which 2 were adjourned). This continues to be above forecast and follows the high number of applications seen in May and June. Year to date we have concluded 47 interim order applications hearings.
- **ACTION**: We are monitoring the impact this will have later in the year with regards to the scheduling of mandatory reviews and the resource required to present them.
- In June there were 6 cases within forecast taking between 9 13 days to list. The remaining 9 cases took between 18-36 days. It is noted that the 2 cases which took the longest time to list (32 and 36 days) were adjouned hearings therefore the originial hearings took 18 and 14 days to list respectively.
- There are 20 cases due to expire within the next 6 months, a similar number to the last 2 months. 18 are in the Conduct and Competence Committee remit, 8 of which have final hearings scheduled, there are a further 3 that are ready to fix. There are only 2 hearings at the Pre ICP stage. We instructed BDB for 1 case in July to apply to the High Court for an extension.
- **ACTION**: Meetings take place on a monthly basis between Case Management and Adjudications to ensure that cases are progressing and are prioritised and to discuss strategies for managing any issues. Cases with interim orders is a regular topic at the weekly teleconference with Kingsley Napley to ensure matters are progressing and given a high priority. These activities will continue.
- We have undertaken a range of activity to improve approach to risk assessments and the early application of interim orders including the following:

- Two Operations Managers now review cases where they are minded not to approve the Interim Order application to ensure consistency in decision making.
- The revised risk assessment operating guidance has been rolled out and includes a process table to summarise the approach to risk assessments. These process tables have been laminated and given to each Case Manager to place on their desks as a reminder.
- Case Team Managers reviewed all open pre-ICP and enquiry cases to ensure that there was a valid risk assessment in place. This process has been developed using a reporting mechanism and will be undertaken every quarter.
- Amendments were made to a number of form templates to include reference to when the last risk assessment was undertaken to prompt a review of the case.

#### 6. Health and Character Declarations

- The number of cases received in July (57), while much higher than June (29), remained significantly below forecast (88). This suggests, although it is too soon to say so with confidence, that the seasonal increase may be less marked than in previous years. If this proves the case, one possible explanation may be changes to the rehabilitation of offenders legislation resulting in fewer cautions and convictions having to be delared by applicants.
- The mean and median ages of open cases are 7 and 5 weeks respectively.
- The revised Health and Character Policy implemented on 1 July will reduce the number of declarations that need to be considered by Registration Panels. The impact of this is already being seen. Of the 57 cases received in July by 8 August 51 had been closed, of which only 8 required consideration by a Panel.
- ACTION: We will continue to monitor the impact of the revised Policy on the length of time to conclude cases. In line with our practice over the last several years a temporary Case Manager was recruited in June to help manage the annual summer/autumn peak in new declarations. This post is funded until November. Once the seasonal peak tails off this workstream will transfer to the new Case Officers in the Case Reception and Triage Team as part of the realignment.

#### 7. Protection of Title Cases

• The number of new cases received in July was again significantly below forecast – 20 received against a forecast of 29. Year to date we have received 102 new cases, which is 87% of the forecast figure (117). The number of open cases (76)

has increased very slightly over June (74) but remains well below the peak in May of 89.

#### 8. Cases Awaiting final hearing

- At the end of July, 153 cases had been fixed for a future final hearing date. This accounts for 28% of the total post ICP group which is only marginally below the forecast of 29% and an improvement compared to last month when 133 cases had been fixed for a future final hearing date.
- There are currently 149 cases that are ready to fix for a final hearing. This accounts for 28% of the total number of cases post ICP. This is an increase of 17 cases compared to last month and is a result of the high numbers of cases being notified as ready to fix last month (52). There are an additional 58 cases where our instructed solicitors have notified us that they have concluded their investigation. However, these cases cannot be listed for final hearing due to outstanding preliminary issues that need to be resolved in advance of a final hearing date being allocated. The number of these cases has again reduced compared to previous months.
- In July we were notified of 42 new cases by Kingsley Napley that are ready to fix for a final hearing. This is lower than last month (52).
- 120 cases are under investigation with Kingsley Napley. This accounts for 22% of the open post ICP cases. The service standard of 10 weeks investigation and return for listing is managed at the monthly service level agreement meeting. Currently, 70% of cases due for return in July 2016 (75% year to date) meet this standard and we are actively managing those that do not via the weekly teleconference.

**ACTION:** We continue to monitor and review the cases that are unable to be listed for a final hearing due to outstanding issues to ensure that these cases do not incur any unnecessary delays.

#### 9. Preliminary Hearing Issues

- There were 12 preliminary hearings that took place in July. This continues to be higher than anticipated and almost double the numbers in April and May. There are also 21 cases requiring a preliminary hearing date due to either procedural or evidential issues that need to be considered by a panel in advance of the final hearing. Other cases have been identified as suitable for discontinuance following the external investigation.
- **ACTION:** We will continue to monitor the higher number of cases requiring a preliminary hearing and the impact it has on the work of the scheduling team and the scheduling of final hearings. We continue to balance the listing of preliminary

hearings with all other hearing types. If the increased levels have a detrimental impact, we will look at options for securing extra room resource

#### 10. Final hearings

- There were 44 final hearings listed to take place in July. Year to date the number of final hearings listed to take place has been in line with the forecast (177 listed against a forecast of 176). The number of adjourned and part heard cases continues to be higher than expected.
- ACTION: A broad action plan has been put in place to help address some of the reasons why we think cases are adjourning and going part-heard at a higher rate than anticipated. This action plan will be monitored and reviewed as well as the impact on hearings.

#### **11. Review Hearings**

• The number of cases with a substantive order that require a review continue to remain within forecast expectations. However, the trend for review hearings to require one day rather than a half day listing continues.

#### 12.405 Usage

- With 20 working days available in July and with the 8 dedicated hearing suites, the maximum number of days available for hearings was 160. There were 144 days of hearings in 405 which amounts to a 90% occupancy rate.
- ACTION: No specific action is required however we will continue to monitor the utilisation of rooms and to analyse the trends in hearing days. In order to make full use of the room resources at 405 for the coming months some Investigating Committee Panels will take place at 184 Kennington Park Road.

#### **Overall length of time position**

- 84% of cases closed year to date were done so within 18 months of receipt. This is the same as last month. This is lower than the previous year, where it was 89%. This figure has stablised at this level and is likely to remain until the end of the financial year, based on the age of cases already fixed.
- This is principally due to the increase in the age of cases closed at final hearing, where the mean and median closure time is 25 and 24 months.
- The pre ICP closures remain the largest group, and the closure times are in line with forecast at 5 and 4 months. These closure times are higher than the age of the open enquiry cases, which demonstrates that we are concentrating on the

most complex cases, and not the most recently received. There is no change in this group since last month, or the start of the financial year.

- The No Case to Answer cases at ICP closure time is currently 10 and 7 months year to date. This is lower than those closed at this stage in 2015-16 (where it was 10 and 9 months and an improvement on the median of 1 month since June's analysis.
- The concluded final hearing cases have a mean and median closure of 25 and 24 months from receipt. As previously reported, this is expected due to the elapsed time at stages earlier in the process already accrued, and is likely to continue for the next two quarters. Currently, the cases with a fixed hearing between July and November, are predicted as having a mean and median of 25 and 23 months, and cases currently being fixed a mean and median of 28 and 26 months. Cases that adjourn or go part heard can have three to six months to reschedule. On current analysis, the sub group of cases that have been previously adjourned will have a median closure time from receipt of 29 or 30 months.
- ACTION: We continue the previously reported actions having analysed the cases that have a current fixed final hearing, and calculated the length of time from receipt on the assumption that they conclude at that point. We know that the length of time is likely to remain at current levels until at least quarter four. We will utilise the focus of the Case Preparation and Conclusion team to drive the conclusion of these cases in the second half of the year. This team will be in post and fully established in September. We have asked Kingsley Napley to identify cases where there is difficulty in securing evidence to support the allegations, and are using the weekly teleconferences to agree if consent or discontinuence is appropriate. We continue to audit the cases that are discontinued, have consent or are not well founded at hearing, to assess if there are triggers that may be applied to future cases.

#### 13. Challenges to Fitness to Practise Decisions

- No new challenges from registrants were received in July
- We were awarded costs in an appeal by a registrant where the registrant appealed the striking off order and the appeal was dismised. We are looking at mechanisms to recover costs
- The PSA has referred one matter to the high court using their S29 powers. The case resulted in a not well founded decision.
- Two further s29 cases were considered in July. One case was settled in advance of the hearing and in the other case it was attempted to resolve the case by consent but the registrant refused. The case has been remitted back for redetermination as to sanciton. In both cases we have had to pay a proportion of the PSA's costs but have been able to reach an agreement as to those costs

- We were successful in defending the decision of a panel in a judicial review application and costs have been awarded in our favour
- We have had confirmation that in relation to the ongoing prosecution that the defendant is not going to seek to appeal the decision.
- There is one ongoing disability discrimination claim in respect of a registrant currently being considered by the county court

#### **14.** Supplier and Systems Management

- In July a meeting took place with the second of our transcription services provider to review the contract for the provision of recording and transcription services. It was agreed that the contract would be extended for a further two years with no increase in pricing. We will also be piloting new wireless microphones for use in hearings.
- The monthly service level agreement meeting with Kingsley Napley took place. There were no significant contractual issues.

#### 15. Assurance, Development and Compliance

#### a. PSA learning points

- We have received learning points in relation to three cases, two of which were linked and were about the same events. The points are currently being considered after which a response will be provided to the PSA. A response to the learning points received in June 2016 has been provided to the PSA.
- The PSA is consulting with all regulators on their apporach to learning points and how they are communicated to regulators.
- The PSA has also provided feedback on HCPC's discontinuance process which we are currently reviewing.

#### b. Accuracy of the Register

• No issues concerning the accuracy of the register were identified.

#### c. Data Security and Data Incidences

- Five data incident reports were completed in July, two of which were identifed as part of the monthly case review audit.
- Counsel advice in relation to the data protection project, being conducted in collaboration with Kingsley Napley LLP, has been received. Counsel's advice was positive and endorsed the the work conducted on the project to date,

indicating that the provisional recommendations were sound. The project has now moved into the 'Improve' stage.

**d. Audit activity** Audit activity has been completed as planned. Activity includes: an audit of cases that were disposed by means of voluntary removal; MIS case audit, DEC and POT audit and audit of Interim Order Applications made between March and April.

#### 16. Employee Training

- A series of half day collaborative workshops designed to support the FTP realignment process have been successfully held for case team managers, case managers, case support officers and team administrators.
- A bespoke series of customer service training workshops has been developed in collaboration with Purple Training. The workshops will be for all FTP employees and will focus on what is good customer service, phone and written communication and responsiveness. The workshops are due to be held in September and October. Other planned training includes: time management for Hearings Officers, coaching skills workshop as well as profession specific workshops with external speakers.

### 17. Partners

- In July, we delivered three refresher sessions to FTP Panel Members. There
  was a session for Panel Chairs, for Legal Assessors, and also for Panel
  Members (a mixture of lay and registrant members). These sessions provided a
  refresher on core panel skills, and used anonymised examples of previous cases
  as practical case studies for the participants to work on.
- The Panel Chair and panel member refresher training also covered essential reminders about best practice to follow at Investigating Committee Panels (amending allegations, decisions), conducting hearings smoothly and efficiently, key elements to think about at the decision making stage of a hearing and considerations when selecting an appropriate sanction. A particular focus was to look at PSA feedback in relation to these as learning points to implement moving forward.
- Due to the high volumes of hearings being listed some issues have arisen with obtaining panel availability amongst certain profession groups such as Paramedics and the availability and willingness of panel members to attend half day hearings particularly those held in the UK home countries.

 ACTION: We continue to work with the Partners team to help address any FTP partner availability issues, including recruitment planning and the possibility of targeted local recruitment.

#### **18. Practice Note Development**

- We continue to review the processes for the new structure, and relevant policies will be revised (if and where appropriate) in light of this over the summer and early autumn.
- Practice Note review of discontinuence and disposal by consent has begun, for consideration by Council in December.

#### 19. Fitness to Practise Operating Guidance Development

- We continue to review the processes for the new structure, and relevant policies will be revised (if and where appropriate) in light of this over the summer and early autumn. We have produceed operational guidance on the transfer of cases from the Investigation teams to the Case Preparation and Conclusion team, and also between the Triage and Reception and Investigation teams.
- We have started to develop the revised guidance on discontinuence and disposal by consent. This will be concluded in parallel with the relevant Practice Notes, which will be presented to Council in December.

#### 20. External Communications

• Four new case studies have been added to the FTP webpages to help explain to our differing complainant groups and registrants the types of issues that are taken into consideration by a panel when deciding if a registrant's fitness to practice is impaired and if so, which sanction is appropriate.

#### 21. Resourcing

- John Barwick will cover Kelly Holder's role as Director of Fitness to Practise when Kelly starts her maternity leave in December. Recruitment is underway to appoint maternity cover for John's substantive role as Head of FTP Operations. At the same time recruitment is underway to appoint maternity cover for Sarita Wilson's role as Head of Case Reception and Triage when Sarita starts her maternity leave in December. Brian James will cover Zoe Maguire's role of Head of Adudication in a care and maintenance capacity when Zoe starts her maternity leave in November with other members of the adjudication team taking on increased responsibility.
- Eva Hales has started as Assurance and Development Manager. Recruitment of two Assurance and Development Officers is in progress with interviews scheduled to take place in September.

• Catherine Willoughby has been appointed to the vacant Quality Compliance Officer post and will report to Rebecca Gray. The resulting vacancy in the Case Preparation and Conclusion team has been filled as an extension of the expression of interest process. The resulting vacancy in the Investigation case team is being filled as part of the next round of recruitment (in progress).

#### 22. Resourcing – Case Management

- 5 new permanent Case Managers have been recruited and will take up post in early September. 2 Case Team Manager vacancies (a permanent post arising from the realignment and a fixed term appointment to cover maternity leave) have been filled by internal candidates.
- As a result of this and of another Case Manager being successful in the recruitment to fill Quality and Compliance Officer vacancies a further recruitment exercise to fill 3 additional Case Manager vacancies will begin in late August with a view to interviews taking place in late September.

#### 23. Resourcing – Adjudication

- There are six temporary staff members currently working in the Adjudications function; three scheduling officers and three hearings officers.
- The temporary scheduling officers continue to be assigned to specific roles: one is arranging preliminary hearings, one is scheduling review hearings, and the third is responsible for panel allocation for all hearings.
- **ACTION:** These posts will shortly be turned into fixed term contract posts as it is clear that the additional headcount is necessary on a longer term basis.
- The three additional hearing officers are being used on the current increased hearing activity.
- **ACTION:** As with the Scheduling Officer posts, the temporary Hearing Officer posts will shortly be turned into fixed term contract posts.

#### 24. Project and Workplan activity

#### Realignment of Fitness to Practise functions

• We continue to focus on the work needed for the realignment. This includes reviewing process and documentation, and in some areas, devising new processes and documentation.

- Appointments have now been made to the remaining Case Support Manager, Case Team Manager, Case Manager and Case Officer posts. The recruitment to the vacant Case Support Officer posts and Team Administrator post is still ongoing.
- Further communication and briefing for employees on the next stages the realignment process is planned to take place in early September

#### 25. Financial overview/3 Month reforecast

- The 3 month reforecast has recently been completed. Given the above forecast number of new allegations received in the first three months of the year, we have increase the percentage of new allegations forecast to be received from 0.62% of the register to 0.68%. The number of registrants has also been revised to reflect the three year plan that was considered by Council in July.
- Due to the increase in new cases, the number of open cases is forecast to be marginally higher than originally forecast (1264 vs 1214). Although given the number of pre ICP case closures in July this may change at the six month reforecast point. Furthermore, it is anticipated that the realignment and the recent recruitment campaigns will asisst in ensuring the percentage of case closures remains at forecast levels.
- The number of post ICP case forecast to be open at the end of the year is forecast to be 516 (compared to an original forecast of 491). This is in part due to the higher than forecast part heard/adjournment rate for the first three months of the year and the higher case to answer rate (although the number of cases being consider at ICP is lower than originally forecast, the case to answer rate is anticipated to be 63% versus an orignal forecast of 58% with the number of cases referred for final hearing expected to be 467 versus 439). Original budget preparation accounted for 468 cases to be listed with 1935 days of final hearing, the 3 month reforecast provides for 516 final hearings with 2089 days of hearing,
- A financial reforecast has also neen undertaken. The 3 month reforecast currently indicates a negative variance of circa £1,000,000. This will be reviewed again at the 6 month reforecast point.



# **Fitness to Practise Department**

# **Management Information Pack**

Kelly Holder, Director of Fitness to Practise Activity in July 2016

Forecast Summary20Enquiries and Allegations Received by type: April 2015 - March 201721Cases Received: Profession & source of complaint April 2012 - March 201722Pre ICP Case Information April 2015 - March 201723Investigating Panel Decisions and Referals April 2015 - March 201724-25Investigating Panel Decisions by profession and source of allegation April 2012 - March 201726Interim Order Cases April 2015 - March 201726Interim Order Cases April 2015 - March 201730CCC and HC final hearings - Scheduling and Resources31Outcome of final hearing by representation April 2012 - March 201732Final Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201737Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Outcome of Final relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2014 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201740	Contents	Page
Enquiries and Allegations Received by type: April 2015 - March 201721Cases Received: Profession & source of complaint April 2012 - March 201722Pre ICP Case Information April 2015 - March 201723Investigating Panel Decisions and Referrals April 2015 - March 201724-25Investigating Panel Decisions by profession and source of allegation April 2012 - March 201726Interim Order Cases April 2015 - March 201727-29Panel Hearing Decisions (Preliminary and Final Hearings) April 2012 - March 201730CCC and HC final hearings - Scheduling and Resources31Outcome of final hearing by representation April 2016 - March 201732Final Hearings: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201736Wils Cases April 2015 - March 201736Mils Cases April 2015 - March 201736Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41	Glossary	17-19
Cases Received: Profession & source of complaint April 2012 - March 201722Pre ICP Case Information April 2015 - March 201723Investigating Panel Decisions and Referrals April 2015 - March 201724-25Investigating Panel Decisions by profession and source of allegation April 2012 - March 201726Interim Order Cases April 2015 - March 201727-29Panel Hearing Decisions (Preliminary and Final Hearings) April 2012 - March 201730CCC and HC final hearings - Scheduling and Resources31Outcome of final hearings - Scheduling and Resources32Final Hearings: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201732Final Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201735Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Forecast Summary	20
Pre ICP Case Information April 2015 - March 201723Investigating Panel Decisions and Referrals April 2015 - March 201724-25Investigating Panel Decisions by profession and source of allegation April 2012 - March 201726Interim Order Cases April 2015 - March 201727-29Panel Hearing Decisions (Preliminary and Final Hearings) April 2012 - March 201730CCC and HC final hearings - Scheduling and Resources31Outcome of final hearing by representation April 2016 - March 201732Final Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201735Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201737Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Enquiries and Allegations Received by type: April 2015 - March 2017	21
Investigating Panel Decisions and Referrals April 2015 - March 201724-25Investigating Panel Decisions by profession and source of allegation April 2012 - March 201726Interim Order Cases April 2015 - March 201727-29Panel Hearing Decisions (Preliminary and Final Hearings) April 2012 - March 201730CCC and HC final hearings - Scheduling and Resources31Outcome of final hearing by representation April 2016 - March 201732Final Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201735Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Cases Received: Profession & source of complaint April 2012 - March 2017	22
Investigating Panel Decisions by profession and source of allegation April 2012 - March 201726Interim Order Cases April 2015 - March 201727-29Panel Hearing Decisions (Preliminary and Final Hearings) April 2012 - March 201730CCC and HC final hearings - Scheduling and Resources31Outcome of final hearing by representation April 2016 - March 201732Final Hearings: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201735Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201736Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Pre ICP Case Information April 2015 - March 2017	23
Interim Order Cases April 2015 - March 201727-29Panel Hearing Decisions (Preliminary and Final Hearings) April 2012 - March 201730CCC and HC final hearings - Scheduling and Resources31Outcome of final hearing by representation April 2016 - March 201732Final Hearings: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201735Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201737Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Investigating Panel Decisions and Referrals April 2015 - March 2017	24-25
Panel Hearing Decisions (Preliminary and Final Hearings) April 2012 - March 201730CCC and HC final hearings - Scheduling and Resources31Outcome of final hearing by representation April 2016 - March 201732Final Hearings: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201735Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201737Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Investigating Panel Decisions by profession and source of allegation April 2012 - March 2017	26
CCC and HC final hearings - Scheduling and Resources31Outcome of final hearing by representation April 2016 - March 201732Final Hearings: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201735Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201737Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Interim Order Cases April 2015 - March 2017	27-29
Outcome of final hearing by representation April 2016 - March 201732Final Hearings: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201735Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201737Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Panel Hearing Decisions (Preliminary and Final Hearings) April 2012 - March 2017	30
Final Hearings: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201733Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201735Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201737Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	CCC and HC final hearings - Scheduling and Resources	31
Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 201734Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201735Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201737Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Outcome of final hearing by representation April 2016 - March 2017	32
Protection of Title April 2015 - March 201735Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201737Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Final Hearings: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 2017	33
Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 201736MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201737Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Review Hearing: Decision by profession April 2012 - March 2017	34
MIS Cases April 2015 - March 201737Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Protection of Title April 2015 - March 2017	35
Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 201738Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Health and Character Declarations April 2015 - March 2017	36
Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 201739GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	MIS Cases April 2015 - March 2017	37
GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 201740-41PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 201742	Cases at Judicial Review or High Court/Court of Sherrif April 2015 - March 2017	38
PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 2017 42	Complaints relating to FTP Cases or Service April 2014 - March 2017	39
•	GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 2017	40-41
Internal Operational Management Information 43	PSA Learning Points April 2014 - March 2017	42
	Internal Operational Management Information	43

Glossary	Headline description	Further information
Adjournments	The case starts its hearing activity but has a request from a party to cease	
Allegations		The allegations are what the Registrant is asked to comment on in their observations. The allegations are also used by the external investigators to investigate and present the case in a public hearing.
Allegations made: Investigating Panel (chart)	This chart shows the number and age of cases from the time the case met the standards of acceptance, until the final ICP decision. Only cases that have concluded at a final hearing are included.	
Cancellations	A hearing or meeting does not proceed due to being administratively cancelled	
Case to answer rate	The rate of cases that an Investigating Committee Panel find that meet the realistic prospect test.	The Case to Answer rate is the total number of cases referred for external investigation, divided by the total number of cases concluded at ICP. It does not include cases that require further information gathering by the Case Manager.
Closed PreICP	A case that does not meet the standard of acceptance can be closed without sending it to an Investigating Committee Panel.	Cases that are closed preICP are those where requests have been made for information to meet the allegation, but have not been provided, or where the case does not relate to HCPC fitness to practise standards.
Complaints	Complaints about FTP decisions, processes or service are logged centrally and reviewed at Executive Management Team. In FTP, a central logging and response process exists to ensure the 10 working day service standard is met, and issues with complaints are escalated appropriately.	Issues from review of complaints are reviewed and fed into the training, guidance or improvement work streams in FTP
Enquiry cases	These cases are identifiable to an HCPC registrant, but do not currently meet the HCPC's Standard of Acceptance	Cases are anticipated to meet the standard of acceptance within four months of receipt of the original complaint.
Forecast	This is the number of cases that are expected and budgeted for in the annual budget planning process.	There is a re-forecast at 3, 6 and 9 months of the budget year to ensure any changes in activity are resourced or re-planned.
Full year effect (FYE)	This is the summary of activity in any completed year, described from 1 April to 31 March	
Further Information at ICP	These cases have been considered by the Investigating Committee Panel, but are considered to have insufficient detail to make a case to answer decision.	The Case Manager requests further information and the case is returned to a future IC Panel.
GSCC transfer cases	These cases were transferred on 1 August 2012, on the closure of the General Social Care Council	These cases are analysed and presented separately in the management commentary from those relating to social workers in England, which were received after 1 August 2012 directly by HCPC
Health and Character Declarations	If a Registrant declares an issue with their physical or mental health, or a caution or conviction, FTP can investigate and constitute a hearing to decide if the issue is compatible with being on HCPC register.	HCPC team members have administrative rights to close cases in line with the policy. Those cases that require review by a panel go to the Investigating Committee.
High Court activity	Professional Standards Authority have the power to appeal a decision made by an HCPC panel. Registrants also have the right to appeal a Panel decision or to request a judicial review of our processes or decisions.	These cases are presented by external lawyers at the High Court. HCPC can re-hear cases under certain circumstances.

ІСР	The Investigating Committee is one of the committees that consider cases. The ICP decide if the realistic prospect test is met on the information in front of it, and refers cases for further (external) investigation.	The Investigating Committee Panel is not a public hearing, and uses the information contained in the papers prepared by the Case Manager to reach its decision.
Interim Orders	Interim orders can be applied for when there is considered to be significant risk to the public or the registrant from their alleged impairment of fitness to practise. An order can be applied for at any stage.	Orders can be granted or refused by a Panel. For those that are granted, they must be reviewed regularly (at 6 months from imposition, then every 3 months until the expiry - usually 18 months). Orders still required after the maximum 18 months have to be applied for extension at the relevant High Court.
Internal measuring tools	A range of time based measures for cases at each of the stages in the process are used to ensure that cases are progressing as expected, or that complex cases have the appropriate escalation actions.	Our case management system generates weekly and monthly reports to ensure any cases that are outside the service standards can be identified.
Length of time	Cases are measured from a number of points in their lifetime. These measures have service standards associated with them, and are used to trigger escalation activity for cases that exceed them. Information is taken from the Case Management System	Measures are taken from: receipt of the original complaint, the time the case meets the standard of acceptance, the time the case has been sent to the Registrant for observations; the date of the final IC Panel; the conclusion of the external investigation; the point at which the case is ready and then fixed for a final hearing; the conclusion of the final hearing.
Length of time Age of Post ICP (chart)	This chart shows the number and age of cases, measured from the ICP decision of case to answer. These cases have been to ICP.	
Length of time Cases Inv Committee (excluding further information) - (chart)	This chart shows the number and age of cases measured from the Standard of Acceptance for ICP cases that have concluded.	These cases may be closed no case to answer, or sent for investigation by external lawyers. Cases where an IC Panel asked for more information are excluded.
Length of time: Allegations made to conclusion of final hearing (chart)	This chart shows the number and age of cases that have concluded at a final hearing. The measure is the time taken from the meeting of the Standard of Acceptance to the conclusion of the final hearing.	
Length ot time Investigating Panel - Case Concluded (chart)	This chart shows the time taken from the investigating committee panel decision to the conclusion of the final hearing.	
Length ot time Open PreICP (chart)	This chart shows the number of cases and their age from the Standard of Acceptance. These cases have not been to ICP yet.	
Mean and median average	The mean is the average of the data, the median is the middle point of the range of the data	
Obs (Observations)	When a case meets the Standard of Acceptance, it is prepared to go to an Investigating Committee Panel. At the point of reaching the SOA, the Registrant is informed that there is a case against them, and invited to give their observations (Obs) on the complaint made against them.	Any Obs that come back after the 28 day period allowed for the Registrant's response go to the Investigating Committee Panel
Part Heard	A case starts its hearing activity, but does not conclude in the allotted time.	Further hearing time is arranged by the Scheduling team, liaising with all parties.
Post ICP cases	These cases have been considered by an Investigating Committee Panel and have a case to answer decision	The cases may be with external investigators (30%), Ready to fix (40%) or have a confirmed final hearing date that is in the future.

Pre ICP cases	These cases have met HCPC's Standard of Acceptance, but have not yet been considered by an Investigating Committee panel.	These cases may have Obs returned, or still be in the process of creating the allegations on which the Registrant is asked to provide Observations. ICP dates are generally arranged up to two months in advance. Cases are expected to have been to ICP for a decision within eight months of receipt of the original complaint.
Preliminary meetings	Can be used to manage aspects of the case, such as witness orders, use of the time available, or admissibility of evidence.	Preliminary meetings can be held virtually, or in person.
Profession	There are 16 professions regulated by HCPC	The management commentary breaks down the trends in new complaints, and also outcome of key decision stages by profession.
Protection of Title	If an individual uses one of the protected titles described in HCPC's Order, a prosecution can be pursued.	
PSA Learning Points	Professional Standards Authority review all final hearing decisions to ensure consistency and also that HCPC is not unduly lenient. They have the power to appeal these decisions. A monthly learning points letter is sent to HCPC containing their observations.	We review all learning points and respond to PSA with our views, including whether we agree with their opinion. We share these responses with our panels for individual learning, and also use case studies in our induction and refresher training courses.
Ready to Fix (RTF)	A case where the external investigation has concluded and can be scheduled for a final is described as ready to fix	The scheduling team at HCPC take RTF cases and liaise with all parties before fixing or confirming the date and location of the hearings. We assume approximately 30% of all post ICP cases will be RTF at any stage.
Realistic Prospect Test	This is set out in the standard of acceptance Council policy, and describes the likelihood of a Registrant's fitness to practise being found impaired.	It is a core component of the Standard of Acceptance policy.
Registration Appeals	Registrants who are refused entry to HCPC register can appeal.	Panels are arranged to consider the appeal.
Representation	Registrants may be represented by a union. Representation may happen at any stage in the process.	The management commentary reviews the outcome of decisions at ICP and at final hearing against whether the Registrant was engaged with the process. The registrant may represent themselves, by represented by others, or there may be no engagement from the registrant or a representative.
Review of substantive decisions	Cases where a suspension or caution is applied at a final hearing must be reviewed by an independent prior to the order expiring.	These panels can continue the original order, vary it, or allow it to expire. Following a period of suspension, Panels can strike a registrant off the register.
Source of complaint	These are categorised as: article 22(6)/Anonymous; Employer; Other; Other Registrant; Police; Professional body; Public; Self referral	The management commentary breaks down the trends in these different sources, and helps to guide FTP guidance development work.
Standard of Acceptance (SOA)	This is set out in Council's policy	
Year to date (YTD)	This is the summary of the information in the period 1 April to 31 March in the current budget year	

#### Key Forecast and Management Information Summary (FTP cases only)

						15/16	Actual												16/17	' Actual	I						16/17 Fc	recast	
	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Year End	Apr	Mav	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Forecast		6 month re- forecast	9 month re- forecast	Year end
Cases Received	144	162	149	245	155	171	163	163	152	202	203	218		192	231	189	178								2,212	2,414			
Cases pre ICP & Enquiry	1437	1427	1353	1372	1306	1238	1193	1163	1159	1151	1140	1176	1176	1142	1194	1204	1170								1,214	1,264			
Rule 12	N/A	N/A	N/A	11	17	23	27	30	28	21	33	32	N/A	33	33	25	23								24	25			
Cases closed pre ICP	71	123	160	165	155	173	156	143	115	133	130	137	1661	159	125	133	168								1,455	1,633			
Case to Answer percentage	42%	58%	51%	59%	67%	65%	70%	66%	76%	71%	66%	66%	63%	73%	63%	67%	77%								58%	61%			
Cases awaiting hearing	432	431	432	429	439	448	440	457	450	481	488	516	516	530	535	533	538								491	524			
Cases per case manager (preICP)	52	49	43	44	42	41	41	40	40	46	46	47	47	46	48	48	47												
Case per case manager (post ICP)	16	15	14	14	14	15	15	15	15	19	19	20	20	21	21	21	21												

NB: this does not include GSCC transfer cases or any cases that are in the review cycle of a substantive sanction

#### Enquiries and Allegations Received by type: April 2015 - March 2017





	2015									2016												2016			1	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar		FYE	FYE	FYE	FYE	FYE
Forecast	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	150	169	155	254	161	178	170	170	158	210	211	227			n/a		n/a	n/a
Enquiries	142	160	145	231	146	159	153	156	148	194	184	210	173	212	179	167										1452	1,960	2,120	2028	731
Allegations	2	2	4	8	4	6	7	5	4	7	10	6	13	12	8	9										201	109	50	65	42
Rule 12	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	4	6	3	2	0	1	9	2	7	7	2	2										N/A	N/A	N/A	33	18
Total of Enquiries /Allegations	144	162	149	245	155	171	163	163	152	202	203	218	193	231	189	178										1653	2,069	2,170	2127	791
Article 22(6)/Anon	5	3	2	3	8	1	4	10	3	7	5	6	16	5	4	6										58	77	65	57	31
Employer	37	35	42	62	35	53	43	46	36	53	49	44	41	51	48	48										435	593	554	535	188
Other	5	7	5	11	11	10	11	12	7	14	7	15	6	3	11	10										87	81	103	115	30
Other Registrant	2	3	5	7	1	5	2	7	0	4	8	7	3	5	7	6										99	78	71	51	21
Police	0	2	4	0	0	1	3	0	2	3	2	3	4	2	3	1										27	37	21	20	10
Professional body	0	2	1	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	3	0										21	14	15	10	5
Public	69	84	65	109	64	63	66	60	66	75	90	99	84	113	81	77										634	793	988	910	355
Self-referral	26	26	25	52	33	38	33	28	38	46	41	43	39	50	32	30										NA	396	353	429	151

		_		Other		Professional			12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	
	Article 22(6)/Anon	Employer	Other	registrant	Police	bodies	Public	Self referral	FYE	FYE	FYE	FYE	FYE
Arts therapists	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	7	4	11	8	4
Biomedical scientists	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	3	37	50	36	47	9
Chiropodists & podiatrists	0	4	0	1	2	0	8	8	53	71	56	56	23
Clinical scientists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	3	6	7	0
Dietitians	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	13	21	15	17	6
Hearing aid dispensers	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	1	25	22	18	18	9
Occupational therapists	0	10	0	0	1	0	11	7	76	105	97	93	29
ODPs	3	6	0	0	0	0	1	3	45	63	60	55	13
Orthoptists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	0
Paramedics	3	15	4	1	1	0	19	52	262	266	231	239	95
Physiotherapists	0	15	3	3	2	0	30	12	123	134	133	139	65
Practitioner psychologists	0	4	3	0	1	1	35	11	179	157	157	146	55
Prosthetists & orthotists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4	0
Radiographers	3	6	0	0	0	0	9	8	55	59	80	87	26
Social workers	22	118	16	15	3	2	226	40	733	1,085	1,251	1,174	442
SLTs	0	3	2	0	0	0	8	2	33	25	15	36	15
Total	31	188	30	21	10	5	355	151	1,653	2,069	2,170	2,127	791

Cases Received

Allegations Open

Total Cases Open

Forecast Total Cases Open

Cases Considered at CPC

% of Cases Closed no ICP

Forecast Cases Obs'ed

% of Pre ICP cases Obs'ed

Forecast % cases Obs'ed

Forecast % cases closed No ICP

Cases Closed No ICP

Percentage of Cases in Pre ICP Remit

Enquiries Open

Rule 12 open

Cases Obs'ed



1,163 1,144 1,116 1,191 1,167 1,158 1,143 1,130 1,107 1,140 1,172 1,214

24 24 24 24

24 24

1,173

N/A

2,246

N/A

Note. The Aug CPC was used to review the full range of some 60 post-ICP cases categorised by instructed solicitors as Ready to Fix: Do Not Schedule.

1,353 1,335

note

1,292

See

1,237

1,193

1,202

1,437

1,167

1,193

1,173

1,437 1,431

#### Investigating Panel decisions and referrals April 2015 - April 2017





		2015									2016												2017		
			Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov			Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov		Jan	Feb	Mar
	Forecast Cases Considered	68	68	57	55	48	47	64	73	72	64	30	78	67	67	64	63	62	66	64	64	63	62	61	63
	Total cases heard	66	70	61	64	67	80	54	74	52	77	71	51	75	58	44	54								
	Referred to CCC (Reg representations)	16	24	15	18	30	19	17	31	23	27	28	24	31	2	11	20								
	Referred to CCC (Rep representations) Referred to CCC (No	3	4	3	7	4	8	9	6	4	6	0	2	9	21	6	2								
	representations)	7	10	11	8	8	21	9	9	11	17	13	4	13	10	11	15								
e	Referred to HC (Reg representations) Referred to HC (Rep	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1								
S	representations) Referred to HC (No	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1								
2	representations)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1								
	Referred to IC (Reg representations) Referred to IC (Rep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
	representations) Referred to IC (No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
	representations)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
	Forecasted Cases Referred	34	34	29	28	24	24	26	29	31	34	36	38	41	41	38	37	36	38	37	36	35	34	34	34
	Total case to answer	27	38	29	35	42	48	36	48	38	51	44	31	53	35	29	40								
	Forecast Case to Answer %	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	68	68	68	62	62	60	60	59	59	58	57	57	56	56	55
	% Case to answer	42	58	51	59	68	65	71	68	76	71	66	66	73	63	67	77								
SWE	Not referred (Reg representations) Not referred (Rep	28	20	18	16	17	19	12	17	10	18	16	10	14	18	11	9								
to al	representations) Not referred (No	3	4	5	3	1	3	1	4	2	2	3	4	2	1	2	2								
case	representations)	6	3	5	5	2	4	2	2	0	1	4	2	4	2	1	1								
NOC	Total cases not referred	37	27	28	24	20	26	15	23	12	21	23	16	20	21	14	12								
	Further Information	2	5	4	5	5	6	3	3	2	5	4	4	2	2	1	2								

12/13 FYE	13/14 FYE	14/13 FYE	15/16 FYE	16/17 YTD
516	543	707	849	231
176	181	210	252	64
19	29	42	40	38
49	83	97	128	49
6	5	6	4	2
2	0	0	0	3
0	3	4	8	1
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0
n/a	n/a	394	478	439
254	304	360	433	157
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
49	56	53	53	70
197	176	256	301	52
21	28	31	48	7
29	18	35	28	8
246	231	322	377	67
18	20	25	39	7

#### **Cases Considered**

Month	Current month / variance		Year end forecast	Commentary
July	54/-9	63		* As for June, because of a very high Case to Answer rate the number of cases referred to Kingsley Napley was close to forecast despite the lower than forecast number of cases heard at ICP.
June	44/-20	64		The number of cases referred to Kingsley Napley are in line with the forecsat, despite the number of ICP considerations. We will continue to monitor this.
Мау	58/-9	67	766	* The shortfall in May is likely to be attributable at least in part to the effect of the two Bank Holidays.

# Investigating Panel Decisions by profession and source of allegation April 2012 - March 2017

By Profession	No Case to Answer	Further Information requested (FI)		ase to Answ		Total FYE	40	10 40/4	4 14/15	45/40	16/17
	Allswei	requested (FI)	000 000	ASE TO ANSW HC	-		12/ F`			15/16 FYE	YTD
Arts therapists	0	0	1	0				3	1 2	4	1
Biomedical scientists	2	1	5	0	0	8		26 2	3 28	22	8
Chiropodists & podiatrists	3	0	4	0	0	7		27 3	1 36	24	7
Clinical scientists	0	0	0	0	0	-		3	2 1	2	0
Dietitians	0	0	3	0	0	-		14	7 10	11	3
Hearing aid dispensers	1	0	1	0	0	_		9	5 5	13	2
Occupational therapists	5	0	8	1	0			14 4		38	14
ODPs	3	0	15	0	0	18		37 2		32	18
Orthoptists	0	0	0	0	0	-			0 3	0	0
Paramedics	6	0	17	0	0			28 10		121	23
Physiotherapists	4	1	13	1	0	19		69 7	1 56	62	19
Practitioner psychologists	4	0	1	0	0	5		37 3	6 53	36	5
Prosthetists & orthotists	0	0	1	0	0	1		1	1 1	0	1
Radiographers	3	1	8	1	0			35 3		40	13
Social workers	33	3	71	3	0			58 31		371	110
SLTs	3	1	3	0	0	•		21 1		11	7
Total YTD	67	7	151	6	0	231	5	43 70	7 849	787	231
By source of allegation	No Case to	Further Information									
	Answer	requested (FI)	C	ase to Answ	or	Total FYE	12/	13 13/1	4 14/15	15/16	16/17
	7.1151101	requested (r i)	00 000	HC			F`				YTD
Article 22(6)/Anon	1	0	0	0				72 2		14	1
Employer	31	2	98	6	0	137		33 32		429	137
Other	4	1	8	0	0			24 1		31	13
Other Registrant	2	1	2	0	0	5		22 1		15	5
Police	1	1	3	0	0	5		17 2		12	5
Professional body	0	1	1	0	0	2			9 4	13	2
Public	12	0	7	0	0		1	_ )9   13	-	106	_ 19
Self referral	16	1	32	0	0			34 16		167	49
Total YTD	67	7	151	6	0		5	43 70	7 849	787	231

#### Interim Orders Cases April 2015 - March 2017



	2015									2016												2017			15/16	16/17
	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	FYE	FYE
Applications considered	9	6	4	8	5	7	9	7	4	8	9	10	5	15	12	16									58	48
Applications granted	6	5	2	7	5	6	9	7	4	8	8	9	5	14	12	12									52	43
Applications not granted	3	1	2	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2									4	3
Interim Orders reviewed	24	31	16	39	18	18	26	9	25	19	13	22	24	17	25	20									108	86
Interim Orders revoked	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0									1	1
IO instructed for High Court																										
extension	2	2	2	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	1	3	1									9	5
															<u>.</u> .											
Total days (all locations)	18	18	11	19	14	14	21	10	18	18	13	19	18	19	24	19									99	80
% days at Park House	94	92	96	84	82	71	79	80	72	67	84	74	95	84	91	90									87	90
% external	6	8	4	16	18	29	21	20	28	33	16	26	5	16	9	10									13	10

Month	Current	Month	Year end	Commentary
	month /	forecast	forecast	
	variance			
July	20	n/a		There are 20 cases due to expire within the next 6 months, a similar number to the last 2 months. 18 are at the CCC stage, 8 of which have final hearings scheduled, there are a further 3 that are ready to fix. There are only 2 hearings at the Pre ICP stage. We instructed BDB for 1 case in July to apply to the High Court for an extension.
June	21	n/a		Of these 21 cases due to expire within six months, 17 are at CCC stage. Six of these have final hearings fixed, and a further four are ready to fix.
Мау	17	n/a		In May there were 17 interim order applications heard (of which two were adjourned) which is highest in any one month to date and 10 above forecast. There are 21 cases where the interim order is due to expire within the next 6 months of which 13 are in the Conduct and Competence Committee remit and 8 are Pre-ICP. BDB are currently instructed in 2 cases to apply to the High Court for an extension

Month	Current	Year	Forecast	Commentary
	month /	cumulative		
	variance	Total		
July	19 days	19 days	15 days	In July there were 15 interim order cases heard, which is the same as last month. The number is remaining consistently high. 6 cases were
	mean, 19	mean, 18	Mean 15	within forecast taking between 9 - 13 days to list. The remaining 9 cases took between 18-36 days. It is noted that the 2 cases which took the
	days	days	days	longest time to list (32 and 36 days) were adjouned hearings therefore a hearing had previously been scheduled.
	median	median	Median	
June	18 days	18 days	15 davs	<ul> <li>In June there were 15 Interim order applications heard. 3 of these were adjourned.</li> </ul>
	mean, 15	mean, 17		- 10 cases were within forecast taking 9 - 15 days to list.
	days	,		- 4 cases took between 21 - 29 days and the remaining case took 45 days. Regarding this particular case, the application was originally
	median	median		scheduled on the 9 May, therefore tit only took 13 days to schedule, however at the hearing the Panel agreed to the registrants adjournment
				request so a new date had to be scheduled.
Vlay	22 days	23 days	15 days	In May 5 cases were within forecast taking between 12 – 15 days to list. The remaining 12 cases took between 16 and 25 days
-	mean, 20			
	days	21 days	days	
	median	median		

#### Panel Hearings Decisions (Preliminary and Final Hearings) April 2012 - March 2017



#### CCC and HC final hearings - Scheduling and Resource descriptions

	2015									2016												2017			14/15	15/16	16/17
	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	FYE	FYE	FYE
Forecast hearing days	107	78	93	87	84	100	113	91	92	115	115	115	175	176	175	177	165	164	163	162	132	177	177	162	1075	1190	2005
Cases scheduled HCPC (all hearing types)	47	20	31	34	32	36	29	26	24	29	37	43	42	44	47	40									378	388	173
Days scheduled HCPC (all hearing types)	104	59	83	71	82	93	69	95	39	85	101	92	128	131	174	124									935	973	557
Cases scheduled external (all hearing types)	3	5	5	6	6	5	9	6	8	7	8	7	8	5	14	7									67	75	34
Days scheduled external (all hearing types)	8	17	21	17	16	19	34	15	17	20	25	11	30	20	21	32									231	220	103
Days	112	76	104	88	98	112	103	110	56	105	126	103	193	131	194	185									1166	1193	703
% cases external	6	20	14	15	16	12	24	19	25	19	18	14	5	11	11	17									17	17	11
% days external	7	22	20	19	16	17	33	14	30	19	20	11	19	13	11	26									23	19	17
Witnesses	58	57	61	57	70	72	69	82	38	74	86	57	86	83	90	88									77	65	87

	Represented self	Represented	No representation	Total
Caution	3	8	0	11
Conditions	0	9	3	12
No Further Action/Not impaired	3	0	2	5
Not Well Found/ Discontinued	7	21	10	38
Register entry amended	0	0	0	0
Struck Off	0	4	27	31
Suspended	2	6	19	27
Consent - removed	0	0	5	5
Consent - caution	0	0	0	0
Consent - suspension	0	0	0	0
Consent - conditions	0	0	0	0
Total	15	48	66	129

Restoration activity	Represented self	Represented	No representation	Total
Restored	1	1	0	2
Not restored	1	0	0	1
Adjourned	1	0	0	1
Total	3	1	0	4

#### Final hearings: Decisions by profession April 2012 - April 2017

	Final Hearings															
	Caution	Conditions of					cross	Register			Suspended	Consent -	Consent -	Consent -	Consent -	
		Practice	Action	Founded		impaired	referred		(fraudulent/inc			removed	caution	conditions	suspension	
								amended	orrect)							
Arts therapists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Biomedical scientists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	
Chiropodists & podiatrists	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clinical scientists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dietitians	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Hearing aid dispensers	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Occupational therapists	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
ODPs	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	
Orthoptists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Paramedics	1	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	0	0	0	0	
Physiotherapists	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
Practitioner psychologists	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	
Prosthetists & orthotists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Radiographers	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	
Social workers	3	7	4	13	5	0	0	0	0	14	12	2	0	0	0	
SLTs	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Total
Total 16/17 YTD	11	12	6	31	6	0	0	0	0	31	27	5	0	0	0	129
Total 15/16 FYE	28	37	4	58	26	1	4	0	2	69	59	25	5	5	1	324
Total 14/15 FYE	51	39	5	76	15	1	3	0	2	62	69	28	0	0	0	351
Total 13/14 FYE	36	27	6	60	9	1	2	0	1	52	57	20	0	0	0	269
Total 12/13 FYE	41	13	1	54	n/a	0	0	0	1	43	58	10		n/a	n/a	221

#### Review hearings decisions by profession April 2012 - April 2017

							Review	Hearings						
	Adjourned/ Part Heard	Article 30(7)	Caution	Conditions of practice	Order revoked	Not restored	Restored	Struck off	Suspension	Consent - removed	Consent - caution	Consent - conditions	Consent - suspension	Total
Arts therapists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Biomedical scientists	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
Chiropodists & podiatrists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinical scientists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dietitians	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hearing aid dispensers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Occupational therapists	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	9
ODPs	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	9
Orthoptists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paramedics	1	0	0	3	2	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	11
Physiotherapists	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Practitioner psychologists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Prosthetists & orthotists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Radiographers	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
Social workers	1	0	1	4	2	1	1	6	11	0	0	0	0	27
SLTs	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total 16/17 YTD	5	0	1	10	11	1	2	18	22	0	0	0	0	70
Total 15/16 FYE	5	1	2	44	7	2	1	40	65	6	0	0	0	171
Total 14/15 FYE	11	0	6	25	31	1	5	31	54	2	0	0	0	166
Total 13/14 FYE	5	0	3	30	27	1	0	32	56	5	0	0	0	159
Total 2012/13 FYE	2	1	2	19	29	0	0	27	48	4	n/a	n/a	n/a	132

#### Protection of Title April 2015 - March 2017









		2015								:	2016											:	2016		
		Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
	Forecast	34	34	68	68	103	103	68	103	68	68	34	34	49	35	60	88	36	77	88	100	55	56	37	32
	Renewal	1	1	1	1	7	4	8	3	0	2	6	2	2	0	2	3								
eq	Readmission	4	5	13	5	9	6	7	10	8	5	4	3	6	15	6	1								
Ö	Admission	29	28	38	79	82	64	70	83	45	47	26	26	42	27	21	53								
Re	Self-referral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
	Total received	34	34	52	85	98	74	85	96	53	54	36	31	50	42	29	57								
	Considered by panel	26	9	14	25	23	51	47	36	35	32	19	19	22	14	15	13								
	Referred to FTP	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
	Admission rejected	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	1	5	4	1	1	0	1	4	0								
	Readmission rejected	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
	Renewal rejected	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
	Not referred to FTP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
ered	Admission accepted	26	9	14	25	17	47	43	35	29	27	18	18	17	12	11	11								
Conside	Readmission accepted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0								
ပိ	Renewal accepted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
	Open cases	30	31	39	43	82	73	60	77	51	48	39	37	36	34	39	33								

12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17																				
FYE	FYE	FYE	FYE	FYE																				
N/a	691.66	977	787	714																				
40	16	50	36	7																				
124	134	114	79	28																				
740	775	692	617	143																				
1	0	0	0	0																				
905	925	856	732	178																				
491	460	480	336	64																				
0	1	1	1	0																				
28	11	24	20	5																				
2	18	3	0	0																				
1	0	1	0	0																				
3	0	0	0	0																				
453	424	449	308	51																				
2	6	0	0	1																				
2	0	0	0	0																				
	2015									2016												2017		
----------------	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	-----	-----
	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
Cases received	45	65	62	84	54	86	66	75	52	77	77	78	90	68	81	68								
Cases closed	52	42	76	93	62	85	79	62	27	55	52	79	103	46	78	75								
Cases open	56	79	65	56	48	49	36	49	74	96	61	60	47	69	72	65								

14/15 FYE	15/16 FYE	16/17 FYE
814	821	307
851	764	302

#### Cases at judicial review or high court/court of sheriff stage April 2015 - March 2017

	2015										201	6												2017	7		ר ר	14/15	15/16	16/17
	Apr	Ма	y Ju	n Ju	I A	ug S	ер	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	M	ar A	pr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	) Mar		FYE	FYE	FYE
Judicial review - received		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	1	0	0	C	)	0	0									5	1	I 0
Judicial review - open		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	1	1	1	1		1	0											
	_																													
High court - PSA received		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	1	1	0	0	C	)	0	1									5	3	3 1
High court - PSA open		2	2	1	1	1	1	1	2		2	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	4											
High court - Registrant received		1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0		1	0	2	1	0	C	)	0	0								ר ר	5	8	3 0
High Court - Registrant open		2	1	2	2	2	3	3	3		3	2	3	4	4	4		3	3											
IO extension application at High Court		0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1		0	0	7	0	0	1	:	3	1								ר ר	5	12	2 5
Registration appeals at County Court		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	C	)	0	0									0	C	0 0

#### Complaints relating to FTP cases or service April 2014 - April 2017

	2014									20	15											20	16											:	2017			14/15	15/16	16	/17
	Apr	Мау	Jun	Ju	I Aug	j Sej	рO	oct N	ov D	ec .	Jan F	eb Ma	ar A	pr Ma	ay Ji	un J	ul A	ug Se	рO	oct No	ov D	ec J	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb N	lar	FYE	FYE	Y	D
Complaints received	8	17	14	20	) 18	3 1	5	17 :	23	12	15	7	9	13 1	16	9 :	23	18 1	9 f	17	9	17	13	13	19	26	18	20	9									175	180	6	73
Complaints responded to	7	10	15	12	2 26	6 1 <sup>,</sup>	4	13 :	20	18	13	10 1	1	11 1	17	16	14	19 1	5	8	4	12	17	9	20	26	21	18	11									169	16	2	76
Open complaints	2	9	9	17	, é	9 10	0	14	12	7	9	6	4	6	5	0	9	8 1	2	9	5	9	8	12	11	8	5	7	5												

#### GSCC transfer cases August 2012 - March 2017

									2	015		T									2	016												2016			12	2/13	13/14	14/1	5 15/1	6	15/1
	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb I	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Ju	Au	g Sep	Oct	t No	v De	c Jan	Feb	Mar	, r	FYE	FYE	FYE	FYE		FYE
Open Cases pre-ICP / Enquiry	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	C										23	5		1	0	
Cases closed pre-ICP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C															
Open post ICP cases	19	18	17	15	12	12	12	12	10	14	14	14	13	11	10	8	8	7	7	6	6	4	3	5	4	4	4	3															
CP considerations																																											
Cases heard at ICP	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	C										123	52		,	1	
Cases concluded	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	C										120	47		·	1	
Case to answer	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	C										100	33		6	1	
to Case to Answer	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C										20	14		1	0	
% CTA	100	0	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	C										83	70	25		100	
Final Hearings																																											
inal hearing cases heard	0	2	4	4	2	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C										24	119	1	·	10	
Adjourned / cancelled / postponed	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C										4	24	:	8	0	
Caution	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C										1	16		2	1	
Conditions of practice	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C										1	7		ł	0	
to further action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C										0	2		)	0	
Not well founded	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C										1	15		1	2	
Part heard	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C										0	8		1	4	
Referred to other committee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C										0	1		0	0	
Removed - consent	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c										3	8	:	2	0	
Struck off	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c										7	26		,	2	
Suspended	0		-		0		0		0		0													-		0															1		

Review cases																																
Review cases heard	8	4	2	2	5	1	9	0	2	5	6	7	1	4	4	4 4	+ 0	) ()	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	14	13	51	17	4
Adjourned/Part Heard	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	2	0
Article 30(7)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	) (	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caution continued	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Conditions continued	o	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0		0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	6	1	0
Conditions revoked	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0 0	) (	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
Conditions revoked caution imposed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0		0
Conditions varied	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 1			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0		0	0	1
Conditions varied Conditions revoked suspension	U	J	J	0	U	U	U	U	0	J	U	U	U	U	U	0 1	, (	, 0	U	U	U	J	J	J	J	J	1			0	0	1
imposed	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Not restored	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ñ	ő	0	0	0	0 1			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0
Restored	-		0					0						0								0	0	0				0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Struck off	3	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	1	2	4		0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	12	8	2
Suspension continued	2	0	1	1	2	0	5	4	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	0 (	) (	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	20	2	0
Suspension revoked	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0 (	) (	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	2
Suspension revoked caution imposed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	) (	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Suspension revoked conditions																																
imposed	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0 (	) (	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0
Consent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (	) (	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interim Orders heard																																<u> </u>
IOA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (	) (	0 (	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	3	0	0	0
IOR	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	13	57	5	1	1

Summary of cases received: Total cases reviewed - 495 Pre-ICP - 217 Cases subject to interim order - 14 Suspension/conditional registration - 45 Cautions - 40 Character cases - 15 Students - 12

Open cases pre-ICP	
Month	Commentary
July	Three cases await a final hearing. One of these is scheduled, and two are awaiting the consluion of police or local investigation.
June	There are no changes since last month. Four cases await final hearing.
May	There are no changes since last month. Four cases await final hearing.

#### PSA learning points received April 2014 - March 2017

	2014								2	015											:	2016													
	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug 🕄	Sep	Oct N	ov D	Dec J	Jan F	eb N
Learning points		40				0	•	40	0		0	0								0			0	0			•								
received	11	13	9	0	U	0	U	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	U	U	1	U	U	0	0	0	0	0	9	2							
Reviewable cases heard that month	48	55	42	46	30	42	50	69	40	69	43	59	39	42	50	49	50	42	52	52	38	46	43	49	44	50	53	43							
Reviewable cases in																																			
previous 3 months	125	123	145	143	118	118	122	161	159	178	152	171	123	140	131	141	149	141	144	146	142	136	127	128	138	143	147	146							

#### Issues arising and learning points

Month	Commentary
July	2 further learning points received. Response to those received in June sent on 18/07
June	Learning points received for cases which had S29 appeal betweeen 1 Jan 2016 and 19 May 2016 - 9 cases in total
Мау	There were no learning points received in May

 13/14
 14/15
 15/16
 16/17

 FYE
 FYE
 FYE
 YTD

81

11

133

#### Internal operational management commentary April 2015 - March 2017





# **Fitness to Practise Department**

# Length of Time Pack

Kelly Holder, Director of Fitness to Practise Activity in July 2016

Contents	Page
Overview of service standards for cases	46
Analysis of age of cases closed PreICP, at time of closure in the period April 2016 - March 2017, measured from receipt of original complaint	47
Analysis of age of cases that met Standard of Acceptance in period April 2016 - March 2017	48
Snapshot of age of Enquiry cases since receipt (correct as of 31/07/2016)	49
Length of Time Open Pre ICP April 2015 - March 2017	50
Analysis of ages of cases closed No Case To Answer in the period April 2016 - March 2017	51
Analysis of age of cases at the stage of Investigating Committee Panel deciding there is a Case To Answer	52
Analysis of age of cases where an Investigating Panel reach a decision (cases requiring further information are excluded)	53
Length time: Cases Inv Committee (excluding further information) April 2012 - March 2017	54
Length of Time : Age of Cases Post ICP April 2015 - March 2017	55
Allegations made - Investigating Panel (concluded final hearing cases) April 2012 - March 2017	56
Length of Time Investigating Panel - Case Conclusion (concluded final hearing cases) April 2012 - March 2017	57
Length of Time: Allegations made - conclusion of final hearing 2012/13 - 2016/17	58
Analysis of age of cases where a final hearing has concluded in the period April 2016 - March 2017	59
Comparison of age of cases from receipt to conclusion of final hearing, for 2015-16 and April 2016 - March 2017	60
Snapshot of age of Post ICP cases (correct at 31/07/2016)	61
Analysis of final hearing outcomes by age at each stage, for the periods 2015-16 and April 2016 - March 2017	62
Age of concluded final hearings at each stage, for the periods 2016-16 and April 2016 - March 2017	63
Comparison of age of concluded final hearings at each stage, by representation, for the periods 2015-16 and April 2016 - March 2017	64
Analysis of length of time to close cases at each stage and combined	65

#### Summary of current caseload length of time against standards

	То	Timescale	Stage
Receipt	SOA	2 months	Enquiry
SOA	Obs	3 months	PreICP
Obs	ICP	3 months	PreICP
ICP	RTF	3 months	PostICP
RTF	Hearing	4 months	PostICP
		15 months	

#### Enquiry cases

Age since receipt	Number	%	Cumulative %
0 to 2 mths	407	43.9	43.9
3 to 4 mths	193	20.8	64.7
5 to 7 mths	152	16.4	81.0
8 to 12 mths	98	10.6	91.6
13 to 15 mths	23	2.5	94.1
16 to 20 mths	34	3.7	97.7
21 to 24 mths	5	0.5	98.3
>24 mths	16	1.7	100.0
	928	100.0	

PreICP cases

Age since receipt	Number	%	Cumulative %
0 to 2 mths	33	13.6	13.6
3 to 4 mths	52	21.5	35.1
5 to 7 mths	53	21.9	57.0
8 to 12 mths	53	21.9	78.9
13 to 15 mths	18	7.4	86.4
16 to 20 mths	12	5.0	91.3
21 to 24 mths	6	2.5	93.8
>24 mths	15	6.2	100.0
	242	100.0	

There are a further 23 Rule 12 cases

#### Post ICP cases (receipt to date)

Age since receipt	Number	%	Cumulative %
0 to 7 mths	50	9.3	9.3
8 to 12 mths	118	21.9	31.2
13 to 15 mths	64	11.9	43.1
16 to 20 mths	88	16.4	59.5
21 to 24 mths	68	12.6	72.1
>24 mths	150	27.9	100.0
	538	100.0	

#### Post ICP cases (ICP to date)

Age since ICP	Number	%	Cumulative %
0 to 5 mths	207	38.5	38.5
6 to 7 mths	76	14.1	52.6
8 to 12 mths	147	27.3	79.9
13 to 15 mths	34	6.3	86.2
16 to 20 mths	35	6.5	92.8
21 to 24 mths	15	2.8	95.5
>24 mths	24	4.5	100.0
-	538	100.0	

538 100.0

Analysis of age of cases closed PreICP, at time of closure in the period April 2016 - March 2017, measured from receipt of original complaint

Age of case closed PreICP	Number	Cumulative number	%	Cumulative %
0 to 4 months	345	345	59	59
5 to 8 months	150	495	26	85
9 to 12 months	48	543	8	93
13 to 16 months	13	556	2	95
17 to 20 months	8	564	1	96
>20 months	21	585	4	100
Mean Months	5			
Median Months	4			
Total Closed Cases	585			

Analysis of age of cases that met Standard of Acceptance in period April 2016 - March 2017

Age from receipt to SOA	Number	%	Cumulative %
0 to 2 months	73	45	45
3 to 4 months	30	18	63
5 to 8 months	36	22	85
9 to 12 months	18	11	96
13 to 15 months	2	1	97
16 to 20 months	3	2	99
21 to 24 months	2	1	100
>24 months	0	0	100
Mean Months	5		
Median Months	3		
Total Open Cases	164		

Age since receipt	Number	%	Cumulative %
0 to 2 mths	407	43.9	43.9
3 to 4 mths	193	20.8	64.7
5 to 7 mths	152	16.4	81.0
8 to 12 mths	98	10.6	91.6
13 to 15 mths	23	2.5	94.1
16 to 20 mths	34	3.7	97.7
21 to 24 mths	5	0.5	98.3
>24 mths	16	1.7	100.0
	928	100.0	

Snapshot of age of Enquiry cases since receipt (correct as of 31/7/2016)

			2015								2016											1	2017		
	Months	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
	0-4	159	157	55	51	55	163	177	184	174	171	158	168	179	83	89	85								
	5-8	50	43	76	72	57	44	50	49	46	42	42	46	27	54	65	68								
	9-12	23	22	41	51	48	14	12	23	22	18	18	18	14	42	40	38								
СР	13-16	10	12	40	37	37	11	14	13	11	7	5	10	9	25	22	21								
bu	17-20 21-24	3	3	16	28	26	10	6	6	8	9	7	6	5	7	7	9								
aiti	21-24	2	2	11	9	11	1	5	7	7	8	4	3	3	10	6	6								
av	25-28	2	3	7	8	9	1	1	1	2	1	3	4	4	4	5	5								
ses	29-32	2	0	1	3	4	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	3								
Ca	33+	4	3	7	5	5	3	4	4	3	4	3	1	0	8	9	7								
Open Cases F	Pre ICP	255	245	254	264	252	249	270	288	274	261	241	257	242	236	244	242	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Analysis of ages of cases closed No Case To Answer in the period April 2016 - March 2017

		Receipt to	NCTA	SOA to NCTA				
Number of Months	Number	%	Cumulative %	Number	%	Cumulative %		
1-4	14	21	21	52	78	78		
5-8	23	34	55	9	13	91		
9-12	11	16	72	4	6	97		
13-16	10	15	87	1	1	99		
17-20	1	1	88	0	0	99		
21-24	3	4	93	0	0	99		
25-28	2	3	96	1	1	100		
29-32	0	0	96	0	0	100		
33-36	3	4	100	0	0	100		
>36	0	0	100	0	0	100		
Mean Months	10			4				
Median Months	7			3				
Total	67			67				

	Receip	t to NCTA	SOA to NCTA					
Source of complaint	Mean months	Median months	Mean months	Median months				
Article 22(6)/Anon	5	5	4	4				
Employer	8	6	4	2				
Other	12	12	3	3				
Other Registrant	5	5	3	3				
Police	7	7	2	2				
Professional Body								
Public	16	13	6	3				
Self Referral	8	5	4	3				

Analysis of age of cases at the stage of Investigating Committee Panel deciding there is a Case To Answer

		Receipt to	O CTA	SOA to CTA					
Number of Months	Number	%	Cumulative %	Number	%	Cumulative %			
1-4	35	22	22	101	64	64			
5-8	55	35	57	30	19	83			
9-12	29	18	76	8	5	89			
13-16	13	8	84	6	4	92			
17-20	7	4	89	6	4	96			
21-24	10	6	95	2	1	97			
25-28	4	3	97	3	2	99			
29-32	1	1	98	0	0	99			
33-36	2	1	99	1	1	100			
> 36	1	1	100	0	0	100			
Mean months	10			6					
Median months	8			4					
Total number of Cases	157			157					

	Receip	ot to CTA	SOA to CTA				
Source of complaint	Mean months	Median months	Mean months	Median months			
Article 22(6)/Anon							
Employer	10	8	6				
Other	7	6	5	:			
Other Registrant	4	4	2				
Police	11	11	6	(			
Professional Body							
Public	11	8	8				
Self Referral	9	7	5	:			

Analysis of age of cases where an Investigating Panel reach a decision from April 2016 - March 2017 (cases requiring further information are excluded)

	Recei	pt to concl	usion at ICP	SOA to conclusion at ICP					
Number of Months	Number	%	Cumulative %	Number	%	Cumulative %			
1-4	49	22	22	153	68	68			
5-8	79	35	57	39	17	86			
9-12	39	17	75	12	5	91			
13-16	23	10	85	7	3	94			
17-20	8	4	88	6	3	97			
21-24	13	6	94	2	1	98			
25-28	6	3	97	4	2	100			
29-32	1	0	97	0	0	100			
33-36	5	2	100	1	0	100			
> 36	1	0	100	0	0	100			
Mean months	10			5					
Median months	8			3					
Total number of Cases	224			224					



### Length time: Cases Inv Committee (excluding further information) April 2012 - March 2017

	12/13 FYE	13/14 FYE	14/15 FYE	15/16 FYE	16/17 FYE	%	Cumulative %
Number of Months		10,14112	14/10112		10/11/12	/0	
1-4	298	475	523	443	153	68	68
5-8	134	142	186	165	39	17	86
9-12	41	34	58	55	12	5	91
13-16	26	12	24	37	7	3	94
17-20	19	8	8	17	6	3	97
21-24	2	6	7	12	2	1	98
25-28	2	3	1	5	4	2	100
29-32	0	0	1	2	0	0	100
33-36	0	1	1	2	1	0	100
Over 36	1	1	1	1	0	0	100
Mean Months	6	5	5	6	5		
Median Months	4	3	3	4	3		
Total Cases	523	682	810	739	224		



# Length of Time : Age of Cases Post ICP April 2015 - March 2017

		2015								2	2016											:	2017		
	Months	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
	1-4	151	155	159	141	162	172	181	182	178	191	197	197	246	192	168	180								
	5-8	117	102	103	116	103	114	103	95	107	119	126	137	130	147	161	145								
<u>–</u>	9-12	73	81	79	69	74	56	67	68	69	73	70	66	54	86	92	105								
g final ICP)	13-16	40	38	34	42	38	47	46	42	38	27	30	46	38	42	46	41								
_ <b>5</b> ⊻	17-20	31	33	31	33	26	23	20	23	20	33	30	26	23	23	19	28								
waitin (from	21-24	8	9	16	13	22	21	21	20	14	13	10	15	15	20	17	15								
i av	25-28	3	3	1	5	5	7	11	9	14	13	11	10	9	12	11	7								
Cases a hearing	29-32	6	4	4	4	1	0	2	3	5	6	8	10	8	11	11	6								
		3	6	5	6	8	8	8	6	5	6	6	9	7	6	8	11								
	ses awaiting	400	40.4	400	400	400		450		450	40.4	400	= 10	-00											
hearing	<b>6</b> 1411	432	431	432	429	439	448	459	448	450	481	488	516	530	539	533	538								
notal ca	ses fixed this	29	29	32	29	34	43	44	40	32	39	59	42	34	52	50	58								
Cases re but no d	eady to fix, late yet	210	181	185	167	173	167	167	166	173	196	156	200	227	210	197	207								
	xed previous and awaiting	94	107	105	96	96	108	116	116	107	115	157	138	136	137	133	155								
Cases b preparee lawyers	d by external	138	136	142	166	169	173	176	166	170	173	153	175	167	192	203	176								



# Allegations made - Investigating Panel (concluded final hearing cases) April 2012 - March 2017

Number of Months	12/13 FYE	13/14 FYE	14/15 FYE	15/16 FYE	16/17 FYE	%	Cumulative %
1-4	90	150	231	182	71	55	55
5-8	88	61	87	71	28	22	77
9-12	27	23	16	25	10	8	84
13-16	10	14	9	21	7	5	90
17-20	8	14	6	7	5	4	94
21-24	2	2	2	8	5	4	98
25-28	2	2	0	2	2	2	99
29-32	0	0	0	1	0	0	99
33 -36	0	0	1	2	1	1	100
over 36	1	1	0	1	0	0	100
Mean Months	7	6	5	6	7		
Meadian Months	5	4	4	4	4		
Total Cases	228	267	352	320	129		



Length of Time Investigating Panel - Case Conclusion (concluded final hearing cases) April 2012 - March 2017

Number of Months	12/13 FYE	13/14 FYE	14/15 FYE	15/16 FYE	16/17 FYE	%	Cumulative %
1-4	21	16	8	7	3	2	2.3
5-8	108	109	138	99	43	33.3	35.7
9-12	68	79	97	108	35	27	62.8
13-16	15	28	60	40	14	11	73.6
17-20	6	12	21	33	13	10	83.7
21-24	0	7	15	16	7	5	89.1
25-28	4	5	5	9	4	3	92.2
29-32	1	9	4	3	4	3	95.3
33-36	4	0	1	3	2	2	96.9
Over 36	1	2	3	2	4	3.1	100.0
Mean Months	9	11	11	12	13		
Median Months	8	9	9	10	11		
Total Cases	228	267	351	320	129		



# Length of Time: Allegations made - conclusion of final hearing 2012/13 - 2016/17

		Cases 13/14				%	
Number of Months	Cases 12/13	FYE	Cases 14/15	Cases 15/16	Cases 16/17	70	Cumulative %
1-4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
5-8	23	21	19	14	10	8	8
9-12	66	95	123	65	26	20	28
13-16	62	49	80	87	25	19	47
17-20	37	26	62	57	17	13	60
21-24	13	26	24	34	15	12	72
25-28	6	17	21	25	13	10	82
29-32	10	13	8	16	5	4	86
33-36	5	10	5	6	7	5	91
Over 36	6	11	10	16	11	9	100
Mean Months	16	17	16	18	20		
Median Months	14	14	14	16	17		
Total Cases	228	267	351	320	129		

Analysis of age of cases where a final hearing has concluded in the period April 2016 - March 2017

Age since receipt	Number	%	Cumulative %	Age since SOA	Number	%	Cumulative %
0 to 5 months	0	0	0	0 to 5 months	0	0	0
6 to 7 months	1	1	1	6 to 7 months	4	3	3
8 to 12 months	8	6	7	8 to 12 months	32	25	28
13 to 15 months	14	11	18	13 to 15 months	21	16	44
16 to 20 months	27	21	39	16 to 20 months	21	16	60
21 to 24 months	18	14	53	21 to 24 months	15	12	72
>24 months	61	47	100	>24 months	36	28	100
Mean Average	25				20		
Median Average	24				17		
Total Number of Cases	129				129		

Comparison of age of cases from ICP decision to conclusion of final hearing, for 2015-16 and April 2016 - March 2017

Number of Months	15/16 YTD	% of cases	Cumulative %	16/17 YTD	% of cases	Cumulative %
1-4	7	2	2	3	2	2
5-8	99	31	33	43	33	36
9-12	108	34	67	35	27	63
13-16	40	13	79	14	11	74
17-20	33	10	90	13	10	84
21-24	16	5	95	7	5	89
25-28	9	3	98	4	3	92
29-32	3	1	98	4	3	95
33-36	3	1	99	2	2	97
>36	2	1	100	4	3	100
Mean Months	12			13		
Median Months	10			11		
Total Cases	320	100	100	129	100	100

#### Snapshot of age of Post ICP cases (correct at 31/7/16)

Age since receipt	Number	%	Cumulative %	Age since SOA	Number	%	Cumulative %
0 to 7 months	50	9	9	0 to 7 months	115	21	21
8 to 12 months	118	22	31	8 to 12 months	147	27	49
13 to 15 months	64	12	43	13 to 15 months	77	14	63
16 to 20 months	88	16	59	16 to 20 months	79	15	78
21 to 24 months	68	13	72	21 to 24 months	46	9	86
>24 months	150	28	100	>24 months	74	14	100
Mean months	19			Mean months	15		
Median months	17			Median months	13		
Total cases	538			Total cases	538		

Age since ICP	Number	%	Cumulative %
0 to 5 mths	207	38.5	38.5
6 to 7 mths	76	14.1	52.6
8 to 12 mths	147	27.3	79.9
13 to 15 mths	34	6.3	86.2
16 to 20 mths	35	6.5	92.8
21 to 24 mths	15	2.8	95.5
>24 mths	24	4.5	100.0

538 100.0

Analysis of final hearing outcomes by age at each stage, for the periods 2015-16 and April 2016 - March 2017

	A	April 2015 -	March 201	6		April 2016 -	March 201	7	
	Receipt	to Final	SOA to	o Final	Receipt	to Final	SOA t	o Final	
r	Hea	ring	Hea	ring	Hea	ring	Hearing		
Type of Sanction	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	
Type of Sanction	average	average	average	average	average	average	average	average	
Caution	18	17	14	13	23	15	19	14	
Conditions of	24	22	21	18	28	24	22	20	
Practice	24	22	21	10	20	24	22	20	
Consensual	25	21	20	17	16	14	9	9	
disposal	25	21	20	17	10	14	9	5	
No Further Action	22	19	17	13	28	31	22	22	
Not Well Founded	25	25	20	17	28	27	23	19	
Suspension	20	18	17	14	25	24	20	19	
Struck Off	22	20	19	17	22	20	18	15	
Total mean average	22		18		25		20		
Total median	21		16		24		17		
average Total number of									
cases	320				129				

Age of concluded final hearings at each stage, for the periods 2015-16 and April 2016 - March 2017

	Å	April 2015 -	March 201	6		April 2016 -	March 201	7	
		to Final ring	SOA to Fir	nal Hearing		to Final ring	SOA to Final Hearing		
Source of Complaint	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	
	average	average	average	average	average	average	average	average	
Anonymous / Article 22(6)	26	20	24	19	21	17	15	12	
Employer	22	20	19	16	25	26	20	21	
Other	26	26	23	23	23	21	21	19	
Other Registrant	29	27	23	21	37	33	34	33	
Police	25	20	23	16	26	19	22	13	
Professional Body	24	18	19	18	21	21	7	7	
Public	23	21	18	17	27	21	20	14	
Self Referral	22	20	17	14	23	24	18	18	
Total mean average	22		18		25		20		
Total median average	21		16		24		17		
Total number of cases	320				129				

Comparison of age of concluded final hearings at each stage, by representation, for the periods 2015-16 and April 2016 - March 2017

	ļ	April 2015 -	March 201	6	A	April 2016 -	March 201	7	
	•	to Final ring	SOA to Hea	o Final ring	•	to Final ring	SOA to Final Hearing		
Type of representation	Mean average			Median average	Mean average	Median average		Median average	
Represented	25	21	21	16	27	25	22	18	
Represented Self	22	22	19	18	27	26	20	18	
None	21	20	17	15	23	22	18	16	
Total mean average	22		18		25		20		
Total median average	21		16		24		17		
Total number of cases	320				129				

### Analysis of length of time to close cases at each stage and combined

	April 2016 to March 2017				
Stage of case	Number closed	Mean average	Median average		
PreICP	585	5	4		
No Case to Answer	67	10	7		
Final Hearing	129	25	24		
All cases	781	9	5		

	Receipt to conclusion	%	Cumulative %	SOA to conclusion	%	Cumulative %
0 to 2	168	22	22	26	13	13
3 to 4	191	24	46	25	12	25
5 to 8	175	22	68	16	8	33
9 to 12	66	8	77	29	14	47
13 to 15	35	4	81	23	11	59
16 to 20	38	5	86	24	12	70
21 to 24	31	4	90	20	10	80
>24	77	10	100	40	20	100
Total	781	100		203	100	

654 cases (84%) of cases concluded within 18 months