

## Education and Training Committee Panel

**Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which an approval visit is recommended.**

<b>Programme name</b>	Brighton, University of
<b>Education provider</b>	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Part Time
<b>Date of decision</b>	Thursday 9 December 2010

**Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair)     John Donaghy  
Annie Turner**

### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a visit is required, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision</b>
That the programme has been subject to major change and that an approval visit is required to determine how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training. The visit should be conducted to determine that the standards of proficiency are linked to learning outcomes in both delivery and assessment in relation to all programme modules.
That the Executive amend the visitors report to remove the reference to SET 6.4 in the report, and move the reasoning to the relevant standards in the report.
<b>Reasons</b>
The panel agreed that a visit was required to review the issues raised in the major change visitors' report. Owing to the nature of issues highlighted by the visitors, the panel stated that a visit must be undertaken as soon as possible in order to ensure that the programme is compliant with the standards required for safe and effective practice. A visit should be scheduled along a 2 to 3 month notification period following guidance previously approved by the Education and Training Committee (2 December 2008).

The Panel agreed that the reasoning in relation to SET 6.4 of the visitors report had raised a new standard that had not been provided to the education provider when requesting additional documentation. This standard should therefore be removed. The Panel noted however that the reasoning in relation to this standard was relevant to SETs 4.1 and 6.1, and should be considered under these sections of the report.

Signed:  , Panel Chair