

**Education and Training Committee Panel**

**Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended**

<b>Programme name</b>	Professional Doctorate in Counselling Psychology
<b>Education provider</b>	City University
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full Time
<b>Date of decision</b>	Thursday 9 June 2011

**Panel:** Helen Davis (Chair)                      Gerald Armstrong-Bednall  
Robert Smith    Jois Stansfield


**Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

**Signed:** .....  ..... **Panel Chair**

**Education and Training Committee Panel**

**Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended**

<b>Programme name</b>	Graduate Diploma Speech and Language Therapy
<b>Education provider</b>	Queen Margaret University
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Full Time
<b>Date of decision</b>	Thursday 9 June 2011

**Panel:** Helen Davis (Chair)                      Gerald Armstrong-Bednall  
Robert Smith    Jois Stansfield


**Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

**Signed:**  ..... **Panel Chair**

**Education and Training Committee Panel**

**Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended**

<b>Programme name</b>	Supplementary Prescribing (1)
<b>Education provider</b>	University of Brighton
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Part Time
<b>Date of decision</b>	Thursday 9 June 2011

**Panel:** Helen Davis (Chair)                      Gerald Armstrong-Bednall  
Robert Smith    Jois Stansfield

**Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

**Signed:**  ..... **Panel Chair**

**Education and Training Committee Panel**

**Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended**

<b>Programme name</b>	Supplementary Prescribing (2)
<b>Education provider</b>	University of Brighton
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	Part Time
<b>Date of decision</b>	Thursday 9 June 2011

**Panel:** Helen Davis (Chair)                      Gerald Armstrong-Bednall  
Robert Smith    Jois Stansfield

**Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

**Signed:**  ..... **Panel Chair**