

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	The Open University
Programme title	Diploma in Higher Education in Operating Department Practice
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of HCPC register	Operating department practitioner
Name and profession of HCPC visitors	Joanne Thomas (Operating department practitioner) Julie Weir (Operating department practitioner)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of postal review	1 July 2013

Section two: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's report for one year ago
- External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
 - Course specification for S212
 - External critical report

To date there have not been any students completing the qualification. Therefore there are no external examiner reports, nor responses to them. The first cohort of graduates will be in 2014. The programme is also closing with the last graduates completing the programme in 2016.

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

3.12 There must be a system of academic and pastoral student support in place.

Reason: As the final two cohorts are moving through the programme, the visitors could not see any evidence demonstrating how the education provider intends to ensure that there will be continued support for the students completing the programme. The visitors need to see evidence to ensure these students are not disadvantaged and that suitable systems are in place should a student require additional time or support to complete the programme.

Suggested Documentation: Evidence to demonstrate that a system of academic and pastoral student support will remain in place until the programme closes.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	The Open University
Programme title	Foundation Degree in Operating Department Practice
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of HCPC register	Operating department practitioner
Name and profession of HCPC visitors	Joanne Thomas (Operating department practitioner) Julie Weir (Operating department practitioner)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood
Date of postal review	1 July 2013

Section two: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's report for one year ago
- External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
 - Course specification for S212
 - External critical report

To date there have not been any students completing the qualification. Therefore there are no external examiner reports, nor responses to them. The first cohort of graduates will be in 2014. The programme is also closing with the last graduates completing the programme in 2016.

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

3.12 There must be a system of academic and pastoral student support in place.

Reason: As the final two cohorts are moving through the programme, the visitors could not see any evidence demonstrating how the education provider intends to ensure that there will be continued support for the students completing the programme. The visitors need to see evidence to ensure these students are not disadvantaged and that suitable systems are in place should a student require additional time or support to complete the programme.

Suggested Documentation: Evidence to demonstrate that a system of academic and pastoral student support will remain in place until the programme closes.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University College London
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Speech Sciences
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC register	Speech and language therapist
Name and profession of HCPC visitors	Lucy Myers (Speech and language therapist) Caroline Sykes (Speech and language therapist)
HCPC executive	Amal Hussein
Date of postal review	30 July 2013

Section two: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
 - Internal quality report for one year ago
 - Internal quality report for two years ago
 - External examiner's report for one year ago
 - External examiner's report for two years ago
 - Response to External examiner's report one year ago
 - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Course Unit Evaluation Summaries from one and two years ago
 - NHS London Contract Performance Management Annual Report from one and two years ago.
 - Student Evaluations of Placements from one and two years ago
 - Placement Provider Feedback from one and two years ago

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of East Anglia
Programme title	MSc Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC register	Physiotherapist
Name and profession of HCPC visitors	Julia Cutforth (Physiotherapist) Anthony Power (Physiotherapist)
HCPC executive	Amal Hussein
Date of postal review	30 July 2013

Section two: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External examiner's report for one year ago
- External examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Hull
Programme title	Allied Health Professionals Supplementary Prescribing
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant entitlement	Supplementary prescribing
Name and profession of HCPC visitors	James Pickard (Chiropodist / podiatrist) Marcus Bailey (Paramedic)
HCPC executive	Hollie Latham
Date of postal review	14 August 2013

Section two: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External examiner's report for one year ago
- External examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
 - Curriculum vitae of Sandra Burley 2013
 - Assessment criteria – as validated by HPC 2006
 - Course information sheet – updated for 2012 – course did not run
 - Module guide 2010 – last time the course ran
 - Practice portfolio 2010 – last time the course ran

The education provider did not submit external examiner's reports or responses for the last two years as the course has not run in this period.

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Annual monitoring visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Manchester
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Speech and Language Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC register	Speech and language therapist
Name and profession of HCPC visitors	Lucy Myers (Speech and language therapist) Gill Stevenson (Speech and language therapist)
HCPC executive	Hollie Latham
Date of postal review	19 August 2013

Section two: Submission details

The following documents were provided as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HCPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External examiner's report for one year ago
- External examiner's report for two years ago
- Response to External examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
 - Programme handbook 2011, 2012 and course unit specifications for year two - four, 2011, 2012
 - Clinical Placement Handbook 2011, 2012
 - Student Clinical handbook 2011, 2012
 - School of Psychological Sciences Undergraduate Brochure

- Undergraduate Prospectus
- Curriculum Planning Documents
- RCSLT Conference Poster Professional Studies
- Teaching and Learning Day Agenda
- Two examples of feedback to students

Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.