
Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Education provider	University of Sunderland
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 4 July 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair)
John Donaghy

Jeff Lucas
Penny Renwick

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: *E. Thornton* Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Clinical Pharmacology for Podiatrists
Education provider	University of Huddersfield
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 4 July 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Jeff Lucas
John Donaghy Penny Renwick

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:  **Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science (Blood Science)
Education provider	University of Sunderland
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 4 July 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Jeff Lucas
John Donaghy Penny Renwick


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:  Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science (Genetic Science)
Education provider	University of Sunderland
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 4 July 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Jeff Lucas
 John Donaghy Penny Renwick


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:  **Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science (Cellular Science)
Education provider	University of Sunderland
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 4 July 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Jeff Lucas
 John Donaghy Penny Renwick

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: *E Thornton* **Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science (Infection Science)
Education provider	University of Sunderland
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 4 July 2013

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair) Jeff Lucas
John Donaghy Penny Renwick


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:  **Panel Chair**