
AGENDA

Education and Training Panel – tier 2 meeting approval route August 2025

Panel members: Katie Thirlaway (Chair)
Helen Gough

Enquiries: Francesca Bramley, Secretary to the Panel
secretariat@hcpc-uk.org

- 1. Welcome and apologies**
- 2. Declaration of conflicts of interest**
- 3. Approval**
 - a. Programmes recommended for approval subject to meeting conditions, with provider observations

None
 - b. Programmes recommended for approval at new education providers
 - Liverpool Hope University, BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy (Degree Apprenticeship)
 - Liverpool Hope University, MSc (pre-registration) Physiotherapy
 - Solent University, Independent and Supplementary Prescribing
 - c. Programmes recommended for approval with provider observations
 - Canterbury Christ Church University, Master of Science Occupational Therapy (pre-registration)
 - Canterbury Christ Church University, Master of Science Physiotherapy (pre-registration)
- 4. Performance review**
 - a. Review period for institutions which have been subject to the performance review process, with provider observations

None.
- 5. Focused review**
 - a. Institutions/programmes subjected to the focused review process, where no further action is recommended, with observations

None.

- b.** Institutions/programmes subjected to the focused review process, where referral to another process is recommended, with observations

None.

- 6. Record changes – no provider consent**
To be notified and agreed by the Chair

None.

- 7. Any other business**
To be notified and agreed by the Chair

- 8. Close**

The Education and Training Committee makes all decisions on programme approval and on other operational education matters. Decisions are categorised into three 'tiers', which are categorised based on risk, whether recommended outcomes are challenged by providers, and / or whether there is a significant negative impact for the provider and/or learners. Meetings of the Education and Training Panel are reserved for items which require a higher level of oversight or discussion before a decision can be made.

This agenda is for tier 2 meetings-based decisions. Each section of the agenda has an explanation of the recommended process outcome, with information which enables the Panel to make a decision.

3. APPROVAL

a. Programmes recommended for approval subject to meeting conditions, with provider observations

None.

b. Programmes recommended for approval at new education providers

Deciding to approve a new provider to deliver approved programmes for the first time is a significant decision, as once approved, any future proposals will be subjected to a lighter touch approval process. Therefore, all new provider decisions are considered at a tier 2 meeting of the ETP so that they can be fully scrutinised.

For each programme listed, partner visitors have judged that:

- the provision is of sufficient quality to meet relevant education standards; and
- the provider has demonstrated that facilities provided are adequate to deliver education and training as proposed.

Therefore, they are recommending that the programmes are approved, subject to satisfactory monitoring. Education providers have not supplied observations for these recommendations, meaning they do not object to the recommendations made.

The Panel is asked to consider the information in the enclosure(s) and to approve each programme as recommended.

Education provider	Programme name	Mode of study	First intake date	Enclosure
Liverpool Hope University	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy (Degree Apprenticeship)	FT (Full time)	22/09/2025	3b.i
Liverpool Hope University	MSc (pre-registration) Physiotherapy	FT (Full time)	22/09/2025	3b.i
Solent University	Independent and Supplementary Prescribing	PT (Part time)	15/09/2025	3b.ii

c. Programmes recommended for approval with provider observations

For each programme listed, partner visitors have judged that:

- the provision is of sufficient quality to meet relevant education standards; and
- the provider has demonstrated that facilities provided are adequate to deliver education and training as proposed.

Therefore, they are recommending that the programmes are approved, subject to satisfactory monitoring. Education providers have supplied observations for these recommendations, meaning they object to the recommendations made.

The Panel is asked to consider the information in the table(s) below, including the education provider observations, and to approve each programme as recommended.

Education provider	Programme name	Mode of study	First intake date	Enclosure
Canterbury Christ Church University	Master of Science Occupational Therapy (pre-registration)	FT (Full time)	01/09/2025	3c.i-ii
Canterbury Christ Church University	Master of Science Physiotherapy (pre-registration)	FT (Full time)	01/09/2025	3c.i-ii

4. PERFORMANCE REVIEW

- a. Review period for institutions that have been subject to the performance review process, with provider observations**

None

5. FOCUSED REVIEW

- a. Institutions/programmes subject to the focused review process, with observations**

None

- b. Institutions/programmes subjected to the focused review process, where referral to another process is recommended, with observations**

None

6. RECORD CHANGES – NO PROVIDER CONSENT

None